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ABSTRACT

Bridge deck crack surveys were performed on twelve bridges on US-59 to determine the effects of mixture proportions, deck type, and girder types on the crack density of reinforced concrete bridge decks. Of the twelve, eight have prestressed concrete girders and four have steel girders. Four of the decks with prestressed girders have partial-depth precast deck panels, two are monolithic, and two have overlays. Of the four decks with steel girders, two have overlays and two are monolithic. The surveys were performed, crack maps were analyzed, and cracking trends were observed. The results for the US-59 bridge decks were compared with crack densities obtained in a study of low-cracking high-performance concrete (LC-HPC) bridge decks in Kansas.

The monolithic concrete bridge decks supported by prestressed concrete girders within this study exhibit less cracking than decks supported by steel girders in the first three years. At an age of approximately three years, the US-59 monolithic decks on prestressed girders with deck panels are not displaying significant cracking at the joints of the panels. The US-59 decks supported by prestressed girders without overlays exhibit significantly less cracking than the decks on prestressed girders with overlays. No benefits of using fibers in either the overlay or in the deck have been observed in this study.
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INTRODUCTION

Cracking is a problem for most bridge decks because cracks provide direct access of deicing chemicals to the reinforcing steel and reduces the freeze-thaw resistance of the concrete. Cracking is affected by a number of factors, such as concrete mixture proportions, plastic concrete properties, weather conditions during construction, construction procedures, and the age of the bridge deck. The Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) has been working to minimize cracking in bridge decks for several decades. A pooled-fund study is being conducted by the University of Kansas to reach this goal. KDOT is also pursuing other efforts with the University of Kansas to achieve this goal.

The vehicle for achieving minimal cracking in the pooled-fund study has been through specifications for Low-Cracking High-Performance Concrete (LC-HPC) bridge decks. These specifications address cement and water content, aggregate content, concrete properties, construction methods, and curing requirements. Sixteen bridge decks have been constructed in Kansas in accordance with LC-HPC specifications. As a part of the project, crack surveys of the bridge decks have been conducted annually. A standard procedure has been developed for the surveys so that consistent data are obtained from year to year. The results of that study demonstrate that the LC-HPC bridge decks are performing better than other bridge decks across the state (Yuan et al. 2011).

In addition to LC-HPC bridge decks, KDOT has constructed a number of bridge decks using innovative concrete mixtures in an effort to identify other approaches to minimize cracking. This report addresses six pairs of bridges on US-59 south of Lawrence, KS, with each pair consisting of a northbound and a southbound bridge at the same location. The concrete mixtures contain different combinations of cementitious materials, aggregates, and fibers. Some of the mixtures have similarities with LC-HPC. As a result, the decks in the two projects are
compared in this report. The twelve bridges on US-59 include bridges supported by both prestressed and steel girders, a point that is of interest because research dating back over four decades indicates that bridge decks supported by prestressed girders crack less than decks supported by steel girders (PCA 1970). Full-depth cast-in-place decks were used on eight of the bridges, while precast concrete deck panels with reinforced cast-in-place toppings were used on the other four. The crack surveys follow the same procedure as used for the LC-HPC bridge decks and are conducted annually. The study of the US-59 bridge decks will continue through the summer of 2012. This report summarizes the crack surveys that have been completed through the summer of 2011.

**BACKGROUND**

This section provides background information that is used throughout the report. It recognizes problems that have been exhibited in the past by deck panels (Wenzlick 2005, Sneed et al. 2010). It also highlights past research at the University of Kansas to identify problems with silica fume overlays (Lindquist et al. 2005) and the importance of a 14-day wet cure when using cementitious material blends in bridge-deck concrete (Lindquist et al. 2008).

The Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT) has been using partial-depth precast-prestressed concrete panels since the 1980s. Spalling has been observed in some of these bridge decks due to rusting of the embedded steel reinforcement. Cracking at the joints between deck panels has been a problem due to restrained shrinkage of cast-in-place concrete at the joints. Wenzlick (2005) found that cracking was almost double for decks supported by prestressed girders with partial-depth precast panels than for cast-in-place decks. MoDOT is currently investigating solutions to these problems since deck panels are cost-effective for deck construction.
The University of Kansas has studied 30 silica fume overlay bridge decks in the past along with 17 monolithic decks. Of these bridges, 13 monolithic and 20 silica fume overlay bridge decks had been surveyed two or more times by 2005 (Lindquist et al. 2005). The latter decks include both 5% and 7% silica fume overlay decks. The mean crack densities for 5% and 7% silica fume overlays were essentially the same; therefore, all silica fume overlays were considered as a single deck type. At 36 months, the mean crack density for monolithic bridge decks was found to be 0.319 m/m², which was significantly lower the mean crack density of 0.410 m/m² for silica fume overlays.

The effect of curing period on mixes with slag, silica fume, and the combination of both slag and silica fume was studied by Yuan et al. (2011). This work included mixes containing Grade 120 and Grade 100 slag, resulting in similar results. Six shrinkage specimens were fabricated for each mix. Half were cured for 7 days and half were cured for 14 days. The use of silica fume, slag, or both in these mixes reduced the shrinkage, but only for the specimens that were cured for 14 days. The study demonstrated that to significantly reduce shrinkage when using silica fume, slag, or both, the curing period must be at least 14 days.

Many of the bridge decks evaluated in this study have concrete properties similar to LC-HPC decks. The LC-HPC study (Lindquist et al. 2008, Yuan et al. 2011) includes control decks, most of which have silica fume overlays, and decks following the LC-HPC specifications. The current LC-HPC concrete specification permits cement contents between 500 and 540 lb/yd³ (297 and 320 kg/m³), a water/cement (w/c) ratio of 0.44 to 0.45, a concrete slump between 1 and 3½ in. (25 to 90 mm), an air content of 6.5 to 9.5%, 28-day compressive strengths of 3500 to 5500 psi (24.1 to 37.9 MPa), and concrete temperatures at the time of placement between 55 and 70°F (13 and 21°C). The current specifications for LC-HPC bridge decks are given in Appendix
A. Since the concrete properties for the US-59 bridges without overlays are closer to LC-HPC bridge decks than most of the other bridge decks in Kansas, the decks on US-59 are compared with LC-HPC bridges in this report. The bridge decks that have overlays (US-59 5, 6, 9 and 11) are compared with the control decks in the LC-HPC study that have overlays.

The LC-HPC specifications include provisions for aggregates and construction procedures, including requirements for finishing and curing techniques. The specifications require using either a single-drum roller or a vibrating screed for strikeoff followed by a burlap drag, metal pan, or both for finishing. Tining of plastic concrete is prohibited. Wet burlap must be placed within 10 minutes of strikeoff with soaker hoses placed over the burlap and covered with a plastic within 12 hours and left in place to provide a 14-day curing period. At the end of the 14-day curing period, the specification stipulates that two coats of an opaque curing membrane to be applied within 30 minutes of burlap removal.

**BRIDGES**

Three contractors were involved in the construction of the US-59 bridge decks. Ames constructed eight decks, Beachner constructed two decks, and Reece constructed two decks. The bridges consist of monolithic decks on prestressed girders, decks with silica fume overlays on prestressed girders, monolithic decks on prestressed girders with deck panels, monolithic decks on steel girders, and decks with silica fume overlays on steel girders. All of the decks are 8½-in. (216-mm) thick with 3-in. (76-mm) of top cover over the reinforcing steel and have abutments that are integral with the bridge deck, providing a fixed condition at the ends of the girders. The bridge IDs, KDOT bridge numbers, bridge type, contractor, reinforcing bar sizes, reinforcing bar spacing, bridge skew, and bridge lengths are summarized in Table 1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridge ID</th>
<th>KDOT Bridge No.</th>
<th>Contractor*</th>
<th>Girder and Deck Type**</th>
<th>Bridge Skew (deg.)</th>
<th>Bridge Length (ft)</th>
<th>Bridge Length (m)</th>
<th>Total Deck Thickness (in.)</th>
<th>Total Deck Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Transverse Steel Angle of Reinf. Size Spacing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US-59 1</td>
<td>59-30-19.92</td>
<td>Ames</td>
<td>Steel - M</td>
<td>45.63</td>
<td>387.9</td>
<td>118.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>5 16 6 152 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 2</td>
<td>59-30-19.91</td>
<td>Ames</td>
<td>Steel - M</td>
<td>45.63</td>
<td>387.9</td>
<td>118.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>5 16 6 152 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 3</td>
<td>59-30-20.05</td>
<td>Ames</td>
<td>PS w/ DP</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>242.9</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>5 16 6 152 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 4</td>
<td>59-30-20.04</td>
<td>Ames</td>
<td>PS w/ DP</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>242.9</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>5 16 6 152 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 5</td>
<td>59-30-21.84</td>
<td>Ames</td>
<td>Steel w/ O^F</td>
<td>39.17</td>
<td>264.8</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>5 16 7 178 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 6</td>
<td>59-30-21.85</td>
<td>Ames</td>
<td>Steel w/ O</td>
<td>39.17</td>
<td>266.2</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>5 16 7 178 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 7</td>
<td>59-30-18.76</td>
<td>Ames</td>
<td>PS w/ DP</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>333.5</td>
<td>101.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>5 16 7 178 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 8</td>
<td>59-30-18.75</td>
<td>Ames</td>
<td>PS w/ DP</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>333.5</td>
<td>101.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>5 16 7 178 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 9</td>
<td>59-30-24.51</td>
<td>Beachner</td>
<td>PS w/ O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>225.5</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>5 16 6 152 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 10</td>
<td>59-30-24.50</td>
<td>Beachner</td>
<td>PS - M^F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>225.5</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>5 16 6 152 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 11</td>
<td>59-30-24.82</td>
<td>Reece</td>
<td>PS w/ O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>172.5</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>5 16 7 178 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 12</td>
<td>59-30-24.83</td>
<td>Reece</td>
<td>PS - M^F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>172.5</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>5 16 7 178 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**PS = Prestressed concrete girder, DP = Deck panels, O = Deck with silica fume overlay, M = Monolithic deck

^Fibers in the deck or overlay
Bridges US-59 1, 2, 10, and 12 have cast-in-place monolithic decks. Bridges US-59 5, 6, 9 and 11 have 7-in. (178-mm) thick cast-in-place subdecks with 1½-in. (33-mm) thick silica fume overlays. Bridges US-59 3, 4, 7, and 8 have 3-in. (76-mm) thick deck panels with a 5½-in. (140-mm) cast-in-place reinforced concrete topping. The panels for US-59 3 and 4 are approximately 7 × 9 feet (2.13 × 2.74 m) and the panels for US-59 7 and 8 are 8 × 9 feet (2.44 × 2.74 m). All of the deck panels had a design strength of 5000 psi (34.5 MPa) and were manufactured by CoreSlab (Kansas) Inc.

**CONCRETE PROPERTIES AND CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES**

The mixture proportions for the bridge decks, shown in Table 2, vary by type of cementitious material (portland cement, slag cement, and silica fume), type of aggregate (granite, limestone, and river sand), w/c ratio (0.42 to 0.45), and type of fibers (Grace 90/40 Strux and Grace fibers), if used. The Grace 90/40 Strux fibers are 1.55-in. long synthetic fibers, while the Grace fibers are ¾-in. long fibrillated polypropylene fibers. The mix designs for the silica fume overlays on US-59 5, US-59 6, US-59 9, and US-59 11 are shown in Table 3. The plastic concrete properties, concrete strengths for the decks and subdecks (in the case of decks with overlays), construction day air temperatures, as well as the concrete temperature and average air temperature are listed in Table 4. Concrete slump ranged from 2½ to 4½ in. (65 to 115 mm), air content ranged from 6 to 8%, and compressive strength at 28 days ranged from 4100 to 6390 psi (28.3 to 44.0 MPa). Most of the slumps, air contents, and compressive strengths were within or just outside of the LC-HPC specified ranges with a few exceptions. US-59 2 had a high compressive strength of 6390 and US-59 5 and US-59 11 had high slumps of 5 and 4¾ in. (125 and 120 mm), respectively.
Table 2 - Mixture Proportions for Decks or Subdecks of Decks with Silica Fume Overlays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridge ID</th>
<th>Date of Placement</th>
<th>Cementitous Material**</th>
<th>Fibers in Deck</th>
<th>Aggregates by Weight***</th>
<th>Water Content</th>
<th>Cementitous Material</th>
<th>w/c Ratio</th>
<th>% Paste by Vol.</th>
<th>Types of Admix. ****</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US 59-1</td>
<td>11/13/2008</td>
<td>60% C, 35% S, 5% SF</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>45% CA-2, 15.2% CA-3, 39.8% FA</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>23.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-2</td>
<td>11/25/2008</td>
<td>60% C, 35% S, 5% SF</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>45% CA-2, 15.2% CA-3, 39.8% FA</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>23.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-3</td>
<td>9/30/2008</td>
<td>65% C, 35% S</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>45% CA-2, 15.2% CA-3, 39.8% FA</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>24.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-4</td>
<td>9/19/2008</td>
<td>65% C, 35% S</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>45% CA-2, 15.2% CA-3, 39.8% FA</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>24.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-5*</td>
<td>5/14/2008</td>
<td>100% C</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50% CA-1, 50% FA</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>27.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-6*</td>
<td>4/30/2008</td>
<td>100% C</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50% CA-1, 50% FA</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>27.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-7</td>
<td>11/1/2008</td>
<td>60% C, 35% S, 5% SF</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>45% CA-2, 15.2% CA-3, 39.8% FA</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>23.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-8</td>
<td>10/29/2008</td>
<td>60% C, 35% S, 5% SF</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>45% CA-2, 15.2% CA-3, 39.8% FA</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>23.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-9*</td>
<td>10/21/2008</td>
<td>100% C</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50% CA-1, 50% FA</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>26.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-10</td>
<td>12/6/2008</td>
<td>100% C</td>
<td>5 lb/yd³ WR Grace 90/40 StruxF</td>
<td>50% CA-1, 50% FA</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>24.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-11*</td>
<td>10/3/2008</td>
<td>100% C</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50% CA-1, 50% FA</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>27.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-12</td>
<td>1/9/2009</td>
<td>100% C</td>
<td>3 lb/yd³ Grace FibersF</td>
<td>50% CA-1, 50% FA</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>24.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Bridges have overlays and proportions are for the subdecks.
** C = Cement, S = Slag, SF = Silica fume
*** CA-1 = ½ in. Crushed limestone, CA-2 = ¾ in. Crushed granite, CA-3 = ½ in. Crushed granite, FA = River sand
**** AEA = Air entraining agent, Type A = Type A water reducer
FWR Grace 90/40 Strux = 1.55-in. long synthetic fibers, Grace Fibers = ¾-in. long fibrillated polypropylene fibers
### Table 3 - Silica Fume Overlay Mix Designs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridge ID</th>
<th>Cementitious Material*</th>
<th>Fibers in Overlay</th>
<th>Aggregates by Weight**</th>
<th>Water Content</th>
<th>Cementitious Material</th>
<th>w/c Ratio</th>
<th>% Paste by Volume</th>
<th>Types of Admix. ***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US-59 5</td>
<td>66% C, 30.1 S, 3.9% SF</td>
<td>5 lb/yd³ WR Grace 90/40 Strux⁵</td>
<td>50% CA-1 50% FA</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 6</td>
<td>66% C, 30.1 S, 3.9% SF</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50% CA-1 50% FA</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 9</td>
<td>92.2% C, 7.8% SF</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50% CA-1 50% FA</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 11</td>
<td>92.2% C, 7.8% SF</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50% CA-1 50% FA</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* C = Cement, S = Slag, SF = Silica fume  
**CA-1 = ½ in. Crushed limestone, FA = River sand  
*** AEA = Air entraining agent, Type A = Type A water reducer  
⁵WR Grace 90/40 Strux = 1.55-in. long synthetic fibers
Table 4 – Average Plastic Concrete Properties, Air Temperature at Time of Placement, and Concrete Compressive Strength

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridge ID</th>
<th>Slump (in.)</th>
<th>Air Content (%)</th>
<th>Concrete Temp. (F)</th>
<th>Concrete Temp. (C)</th>
<th>Low Air Temperature (F)</th>
<th>Low Air Temperature (C)</th>
<th>High Air Temperature (F)</th>
<th>High Air Temperature (C)</th>
<th>Range Air Temperature (F)</th>
<th>Range Air Temperature (C)</th>
<th>Average Air Temperature (F)</th>
<th>Average Air Temperature (C)</th>
<th>28-Day Strength (psi)</th>
<th>28-Day Strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US-59 1</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5090</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 2</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6390</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 3</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4260</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 4</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 5</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5010</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 6</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4850</td>
<td>33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 7</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4720</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 8</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4580</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 9</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5110</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 10</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-6.1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5100</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 11</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4480</td>
<td>30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 12</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5740</td>
<td>39.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Bridges have overlays and properties listed are for the subdecks.

Table 5 – Average Overlay Plastic Concrete Properties and Compressive Strengths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridge ID</th>
<th>Slump (in.)</th>
<th>Air Content (%)</th>
<th>Concrete Temp. (F)</th>
<th>Concrete Temp. (C)</th>
<th>28-Day Strength (psi)</th>
<th>28-Day Strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US-59 5</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>6450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 6</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>7480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 9</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>9100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-59 11</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>5470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The difference between concrete temperature and air temperature at the time of placement for the decks supported by steel girders ranged from 3.0 to 26.3 °F (1.7 to 14.6 °C) for the decks with an average difference of 13.7 °F (7.6 °C). The average difference between concrete temperature and air temperature for LC-HPC decks supported by steel girders ranged from -7 to 27.4 °F (-3.9 to 15.2 °C) with an average difference of 6.1 °F (3.4 °C). The average difference between concrete temperature and average air temperature is higher for the decks on US-59. Only US-59 6 had a difference below the average LC-HPC difference. A higher temperature difference could cause more cracking in the US-59 decks due to girder contraction and expansion. When the girders are cold and warmer concrete is placed on them, the girders will expand after the concrete is placed, which can increase the potential for cracking.

The US-59 bridges were tined, which is prohibited for LC-HPC decks. Taking the time to tine the plastic concrete typically delays the initiation of curing for an hour or more allows the concrete to set prior to initiating curing. The decks were cured for 14 days using wet burlap. It is not known if plastic was used to cover the burlap.

CRACK SURVEYS

The crack surveys described in this report were conducted after the bridge decks were opened to traffic. At this writing, half of the bridges were surveyed in both 2010 and 2011, while the balance were surveyed in 2011. The surveys will be repeated once more during the summer of 2012.

Procedure

To ensure accurate comparisons of crack survey results, a standard procedure has been developed for the surveys. Surveys are conducted on days that are mostly sunny with
temperatures of at least 60°F (16°C). The bridge deck must be completely dry; therefore, if it has rained the night before or if rain is expected, the survey is not performed. Traffic control must be provided to shut down at least one lane of the bridge at a time.

Prior to the survey, a scaled drawing of the bridge deck is prepared at a scale of 1 in. = 10 ft (25 mm = 3.05 m). Two versions of this drawing should be printed: one with a 5 ft × 5 ft (1.52 × 1.52 m) grid over the bridge and one without the grid. The version with the grid is placed under the version without, so the grid can be seen through the paper.

The survey crew consists of three to five people. The surveyors draw the 5 ft × 5 ft (1.52 × 1.52 m) grid on the deck using sidewalk chalk or lumber crayons to parallel the grid on the scale drawing. Cracks can be identified by bending at the waist but no closer to the deck. The goal is to obtain a consistent measure of cracking, rather than attempting to identify every crack. Cracks are also marked using either sidewalk chalk or lumber crayons. Each part of the bridge is surveyed by at least two individuals using this method. One person will transpose the cracks to the scale drawing using a pencil.

After the survey is complete, the scale drawing is scanned into a computer. All lines that are not cracks, such as lines identifying bridge piers or deck boundaries, are erased immediately after the scanned images have been saved. Since the computer program only accounts for straight cracks, curved cracks are broken into straight line sections. This is done by removing single pixels from the curves. The scanned image may need to be enhanced to darken the pixels of the cracks. The scanned images are then converted to a data file that is analyzed using a program that counts the number of dark adjacent pixels to determine individual crack lengths, which are converted to crack density for the bridge deck (Lindquist et al. 2005). Crack densities are calculated for the entire deck as well as by span, placement, and for the end sections of the bridge. The complete procedure for performing crack surveys is described in Appendix B.
Results

The completed crack maps for each of the crack surveys are shown in Figures 1-18 and summarized in Table C.1 of Appendix C. Because the bridges are in pairs, they are considered “twins” and provide good comparisons.

All of the US-59 bridge decks have reached an age of at least 30 months. Since the bridges in both the LC-HPC, study as well as in this study range in age, a crack density at the age of 36 months will be used for most comparisons. If the bridge is within 6 months of 36 months at the time of the last survey, the crack density at the time of the last survey will be considered the 36-month crack density. Thirteen LC-HPC bridge decks have been surveyed, but only two of these are supported on prestressed girders. Ten control bridge decks have been surveyed along with the LC-HPC decks, but only one is supported by prestressed girders. The crack densities range from 0.012 to 0.241 m/m² for the LC-HPC bridge decks with steel girders at 36 months with an average of 0.104 m/m². Two of the LC-HPC decks are supported by prestressed girders, which have crack densities at 36 months of 0.029 and 0.364 m/m² with an average of 0.197 m/m².

For the control decks on steel girders, the crack densities at 36 months range from 0.106 to 0.898 m/m² with an average of 0.520 m/m²; for the one control deck supported by prestressed girders, the crack density is 0.136 m/m² at 36 months. In 2005, Lindquist et al. (2005) also studied older monolithic and silica fume overlay decks supported by steel girders in Kansas. At 36 months the crack densities for the monolithic decks ranged from 0.032 to 0.950 m/m² with an average of 0.319 m/m², and the crack densities for the silica fume overlay decks ranged from 0.094 to 1.482 m/m² with an average of 0.410 m/m².
This bridge deck is supported by steel girders. The concrete contains 540 lb/yd³ (320 kg/m³) of cementitious material with 60% cement, 35% slag, and 5% silica fume, granite coarse aggregate, and has no overlay. The w/c ratio of 0.42 used for this deck is lower than the specified range of 0.44 to 0.45 for LC-HPC decks. The deck had an average slump of 4 in. (100 mm), an average air content of 6.5 percent, and a compressive strength of 5090 psi (35.1 MPa). The slump is slightly higher than the specified LC-HPC maximum of 3½ in. (90 mm), while the air and compressive strength are within the LC-HPC specifications. Ames was the contractor. The concrete temperature was 15.5 °F (8.6 °C) higher than the average air temperature on the day of placement. The crack density was 0.280 m/m² at 22 months, increasing to 0.385 m/m² at 31 months, as shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. More cracking is observed in the middle span than in the end spans and the cracks in this span are longer than in the end spans.

The crack density exceeds the highest value (0.241 m/m²) for the LC-HPC decks supported by steel girders and is similar to the average of 0.319 m/m² for the old monolithic decks. This could be due to the differences in curing methods used for this deck from the LC-HPC decks, the low w/c ratio, and the large difference of concrete and air temperature.
**Bridge Number:** 59-30-19.92  
**Bridge Location:** SB US-59 over Sand Creek Rd.  
**Construction Date:** 11-25-2008  
**Crack Survey Date:** 8-25-2010  
**Bridge Length:** 387.9 ft (118.2 m)  
**Bridge Width:** 40.0 ft (12.2 m)  
**Skew:** -45.37°  
**Number of Spans:** 3  
  - **Span 1:** 120.4 ft (36.7 m)  
  - **Span 2:** 147.0 ft (44.8 m)  
  - **Span 3:** 120.4 ft (36.7 m)  
**Number of Placements:** 1  
**Bridge Age:** 22 months  
**Crack Density:** 0.280 m/m²  
**Span 1:** 0.110 m/m²  
**Span 2:** 0.530 m/m²  
**Span 3:** 0.150 m/m²  

*Figure 1: US-59 10 (Survey 1)*
**Bridge Number:** 59-30-19.92  
**Bridge Location:** SB US-59 over Sand Creek Rd.  
**Construction Date:** 11-25-2008  
**Crack Survey Date:** 6-20-2011  
**Bridge Length:** 387.9 ft (118.2 m)  
**Bridge Width:** 40.0 ft (12.2 m)  
**Skew:** -45.37°  
**Number of Spans:** 3  
  - **Span 1:** 120.4 ft (36.7 m)  
  - **Span 2:** 147.0 ft (44.8 m)  
  - **Span 3:** 120.4 ft (36.7 m)  
**Number of Placements:** 1  
**Bridge Age:** 31 months  
**Crack Density:** 0.385 m/m²  
**Span 1:** 0.299 m/m²  
**Span 2:** 0.595 m/m²  
**Span 3:** 0.214 m/m²

---

**Figure 2:** US-59 1 (Survey 2)
US-59 2

US-59 2 is the twin to US-59 1. This deck is also supported by steel girders. It was constructed by Ames, has no overlay, and contains the same concrete mixture. The concrete in the deck had an average slump of 3½ in. (90 mm) and an average air content of 6.75 percent. The w/c ratio is 0.42, which is lower than the LC-HPC desired range of 0.44 to 0.45, and the compressive strength of 6390 psi (44.1 MPa) is higher than the LC-HPC specified maximum of 5500 psi (37.9 MPa). The concrete temperature was 26.3 °F (14.5 °C) higher than the average air temperature, the highest for any of the bridge decks on US-59. At 22 months the crack density was 0.140 m/m², increasing to 0.217 m/m² at 32 months, as shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively.

The crack density is higher than the average of 0.104 m/m² for LC-HPC bridges with steel girders. This could be attributed to the low w/c ratio and the high compressive strength. The crack density does fall within the range of densities at 36 months for the eleven LC-HPC bridge decks on steel girders. It is lower than the average crack density for the old monolithic decks.

Also, the crack density for this deck is lower than for its twin. This could be attributed to the lower average slump, 3½ (90 mm), compared to 4 in. (100 mm) for US-59 1 since a higher slump increases the potential for settlement cracking over the reinforcing bars. Like US-59 1, more cracking is observed in the middle span than in the end spans, which also has longer cracks than in the end spans.
**Bridge Number:** 59-30-19.91  
**Bridge Location:** NB US-59 over Sand Creek Rd.  
**Construction Date:** 9-19-2008  
**Crack Survey Date:** 9-3-2010  

**Bridge Length:** 387.9 ft (118.2 m)  
**Bridge Width:** 40.0 ft (12.2 m)  
**Skew:** -45.37°  
**Number of Spans:** 3  
- **Span 1:** 120.4 ft (36.7 m)  
- **Span 2:** 147.0 ft (44.8 m)  
- **Span 3:** 120.4 ft (36.7 m)  
**Number of Placements:** 1  

**Bridge Age:** 22 months  
**Crack Density:** 0.140 m/m²  
- **Span 1:** 0.082 m/m²  
- **Span 2:** 0.250 m/m²  
- **Span 3:** 0.094 m/m²

Figure 3: US-59 2 (Survey 1)
Bridge Number: 59-30-19.91
Bridge Location: NB US-59 over Sand Creek Rd.
Construction Date: 9-19-2008
Crack Survey Date: 6-20-2011

Bridge Length: 387.9 ft (118.2 m)
Bridge Width: 40.0 ft (12.2 m)
Skew: -45.37°
Number of Spans: 3
Span 1: 120.4 ft (36.7 m)
Span 2: 147.0 ft (44.8 m)
Span 3: 120.4 ft (36.7 m)
Number of Placements: 1

Bridge Age: 32 months
Crack Density: 0.217 m/m²
Span 1: 0.181 m/m²
Span 2: 0.303 m/m²
Span 3: 0.143 m/m²

Figure 4: US-59 2 (Survey 2)
US-59 3

US-59 3 was surveyed at 23 and 32 months. The deck has precast deck panels supported by prestressed concrete girders. Ames was the contractor. The concrete contains 545 lb/yd³ (323 kg/m³) of cementitious material with 65% cement and 35% slag, and granite coarse aggregate. The concrete in the deck had an average slump of 4 in. (100 mm), an average air content of 7.25 percent, and a compressive strength of 4260 psi (29.4 MPa). The w/c ratio is 0.45. The air, w/c ratio, and compressive strength are in the desired range for an LC-HPC deck, and the slump is slightly higher than the specified 3½ in. (90 mm). The crack density was 0.035 m/m² at 23 months (Figure 5), increasing to 0.051 m/m² at 32 months (Figure 6). Much of the cracking is located over the piers and in the middle span of the deck. Cracks are oriented in both the transverse and longitudinal directions. Cracking does not seem to be much of a problem at the joints of the deck panels. Only a few of the transverse cracks are located near the joints and could be attributed the constraint at the joints.

The crack density is lower than the average crack density for the LC-HPC decks supported by prestressed girders of 0.197 m/m², but is between the values for the two decks. The crack density is low for this deck, as it is for the other decks on US-59 supported by prestressed girders both with and without deck panels. The crack density for this deck is much lower than the averages for both the average old monolithic decks (0.319 m/m²) and LC-HPC decks supported by steel girders (0.104 m/m²).
Bridge Number: 59-30-20.05  
Bridge Location: SB US-59 over BNSF R.R.  
Construction Date: 9-30-08  
Crack Survey Date: 8-25-10  

Bridge Length: 242.9 ft (74.0 m)  
Bridge Width: 40.0 ft (12.2 m)  
Skew: 8.3°  
Number of Spans: 3  
  Span 1: 75.9 ft (23.1 m)  
  Span 2: 75.7 ft (23.1 m)  
  Span 3: 91.3 ft (27.8 m)  
Number of Placements: 1  

Bridge Age: 23 months  
Crack Density: 0.035 m/m²  
Span 1: 0.037 m/m²  
Span 2: 0.047 m/m²  
Span 3: 0.020 m/m²  

Figure 5: US-59 3 (Survey 1)
Bridge Number: 59-30-20.05
Bridge Location: SB US-59 over
              BNSF R.R.
Construction Date: 9-30-08
Crack Survey Date: 6-20-11

Bridge Length: 242.9 ft (74.0 m)
Bridge Width: 40.0 ft (12.2 m)
Skew: 8.3°
Number of Spans: 3
  Span 1: 75.9 ft (23.1 m)
  Span 2: 75.7 ft (23.1 m)
  Span 3: 91.3 ft (27.8 m)
Number of Placements: 1

Bridge Age: 32 months
Crack Density: 0.051 m/m²
Span 1: 0.070 m/m²
Span 2: 0.066 m/m²
Span 3: 0.021 m/m²

Figure 6: US-59 3 (Survey 2)
US-59 4

US-59 4 has been surveyed twice. It is the twin of US-59 3. It also was constructed by Ames, has deck panels, is supported by prestressed girders, and has the same concrete mixture properties. The average slump of the plastic concrete for this deck was 4 in. (100 mm), the average air content was 6.75 percent, and the compressive strength was 5000 psi (34.5 MPa). The w/c ratio is 0.45. The air, w/c ratio, and compressive strength are in the desired range for LC-HPC deck, while the slump is slightly higher than the specified 3½ in. (90 mm). Crack densities have been very low for this deck, with values at 23 and 33 months of 0.067 and 0.056 m/m², respectively, as shown in Figures 7 and 8. Most of the cracks in this deck are relatively short. The density decreased slightly between the two surveys, but with such a low crack density, the values can be considered to be within the variation expected between surveys. The majority of the cracking is in the north span of the deck. The other two spans exhibit minimal cracking over the piers. Significant cracking is not observed at the joints of the deck panels. Only a few of the transverse cracks are located near the joints and could be attributed to the constraint from the deck panels.

The crack density for US-59 4 is lower than the average crack density for the LC-HPC decks supported by prestressed girders, but has a value between the two decks. The crack density is similar to the other decks on US-59 supported by prestressed girders with and without deck panels. The crack density for this deck is also significantly lower than the averages for both the old monolithic and LC-HPC decks supported by steel girders.

The crack density for US-59 4 is very similar to the density for its twin, US-59 3. Both decks have minimal cracking. They have the same slump and both have slumps, compressive strengths, and w/c ratios close to or within the range desired for LC-HPC bridge decks.
**Bridge Number:** 59-30-20.04  
**Bridge Location:** NB US-59 over BNSF R.R.  
**Construction Date:** 9-19-08  
**Crack Survey Date:** 9-3-10

- **Bridge Length:** 242.9 ft (74.0 m)  
- **Bridge Width:** 40.0 ft (12.2 m)  
- **Skew:** 8.3°  
- **Number of Spans:** 3  
  - **Span 1:** 75.9 ft (23.1 m)  
  - **Span 2:** 75.7 ft (23.1 m)  
  - **Span 3:** 91.3 ft (27.8 m)  
- **Number of Placements:** 1  

**Bridge Age:** 23 months  
**Crack Density:** 0.067 m/m²  
**Span 1:** 0.004 m/m²  
**Span 2:** 0.012 m/m²  
**Span 3:** 0.160 m/m²

Figure 7: US-59 4 (Survey 1)
Figure 8: US-59 4 (Survey 2)

Bridge Number: 59-30-20.04
Bridge Location: NB US-59 over BNSF R.R.
Construction Date: 9-19-08
Crack Survey Date: 6-20-11

Bridge Length: 242.9 ft (74.0m)
Bridge Width: 40.0 ft (12.2 m)
Skew: 8.3°
Number of Spans: 3
  Span 1: 75.9 ft (23.1 m)
  Span 2: 75.7 ft (23.1 m)
  Span 3: 91.3 ft (27.8 m)
Number of Placements: 1

Bridge Age: 33 months
Crack Density: 0.056 m/m²
Span 1: 0.018 m/m²
Span 2: 0.011 m/m²
Span 3: 0.124 m/m²
US-59 5

Two surveys have been completed for US-59 5. This bridge deck is supported by steel girders and was constructed by Ames. The concrete in the deck contains 630 lb/yd$^3$ (374 kg/m$^3$) of cement, limestone coarse aggregate, and has a silica fume overlay with 1.55-in. long synthetic fibers in the overlay. The average slump and air content of the plastic concrete for the subdeck were, respectively, 5 in. (130 mm) and 6.75 percent. The w/c ratio is 0.44, and the compressive strength of the subdeck was 5010 psi (34.5 MPa). The slump was higher than the maximum of 3½ in. (90 mm) specified for LC-HPC, and the air content and w/c ratio fall within the desired ranges for LC-HPC. The crack density was 0.270 m/m$^2$ at 28 months, increasing to 0.320 m/m$^2$ at 38 months, as shown in Figures 9 and 10, respectively. The cracks on this bridge are evenly distributed over most of the deck, excluding the ends. During the second survey, a longitudinal crack through almost the entire middle span was observed, as shown in Figure 10.

US-59 5 has a much lower crack density than the average of 0.520 m/m$^2$ for control decks with steel girders. The crack density is also lower than the average of 0.410 m/m$^2$ for the old silica fume overlay bridges supported by steel girders.
**Bridge Number:** 59-30-21.84  
**Bridge Location:** SB US-59 over Midland R.R.  
**Construction Date:** 5-14-08  
**Crack Survey Date:** 9-21-10  
**Bridge Length:** 264.8 ft (80.7 m)  
**Bridge Width:** 40.0 ft (12.2 m)  
**Skew:** 39.17\(^\circ\)  
**Number of Spans:** 3  
  - **Span 1:** 81.7 ft (24.9 m)  
  - **Span 2:** 99.8 ft (30.4 m)  
  - **Span 3:** 83.3 ft (25.4 m)  
**Number of Placements:** 1  
**Bridge Age:** 28 months  
**Crack Density:** 0.270 m/m\(^2\)  
**Span 1:** 0.160 m/m\(^2\)  
**Span 2:** 0.380 m/m\(^2\)  
**Span 3:** 0.250 m/m\(^2\)
Figure 10: US-59 5

**Bridge Number:** 59-30-21.84  
**Bridge Location:** SB US-59 over Midland R.R.  
**Construction Date:** 5-14-08  
**Crack Survey Date:** 7-15-11

**Bridge Length:** 264.8 ft (80.7 m)  
**Bridge Width:** 40.0 ft (12.2 m)  
**Skew:** 39.17°  
**Number of Spans:** 3
  - **Span 1:** 81.7 ft (24.9 m)  
  - **Span 2:** 99.8 ft (30.4 m)  
  - **Span 3:** 83.3 ft (25.4 m)  
**Number of Placements:** 1

**Bridge Age:** 38 months  
**Crack Density:** 0.320 m/m²  
**Span 1:** 0.195 m/m²  
**Span 2:** 0.458 m/m²  
**Span 3:** 0.246 m/m²
US-59 6

US-59 6 is the twin to US-59 5. As with US-59 5, this bridge deck is supported by steel girders and was constructed by Ames. The concrete contains 630 lb/yd$^3$ (374 kg/m$^3$) of cement, limestone coarse aggregate, and has a silica fume overlay without fibers in the overlay. The plastic concrete for the subdeck for US-59 6 had an average slump of 4½ in. (115 mm) and an average air content of 6.25 percent. The w/c ratio is 0.44 and the compressive strength of the subdeck was 4850 psi (33.4 MPa). The slump and air content are both slightly out of the desired ranges for LC-HPC decks, but the w/c ratio and the compressive strength are within the LC-HPC specifications. The crack density for US-59 6 was 0.160 m/m$^2$ at 29 months, increasing to 0.198 m/m$^2$ at 38.5 months, as shown in Figures 11 and 12, respectively. The majority of the cracks are in the two most southern spans. Most of these cracks are in the transverse direction over reinforcing bars.

The crack density is much lower than both the average density of 0.520 m/m$^2$ for the control decks and the average density of 0.410 m/m$^2$ for the old silica fume overlays supported by steel girders. The crack density is almost twice the average for LC-HPC decks on steel girders.

The crack density of 0.198 m/m$^2$ is less than 60% of the crack density of its twin, US-59 5, which contains fibers in the overlay. US-59 5 had a subdeck average slump and compressive strength that were slightly higher than US-59 6, both of which could have contributed to the higher crack density.
**Bridge Number:** 59-30-21.85  
**Bridge Location:** NB US-59 over Midland R.R.  
**Construction Date:** 4-30-2008  
**Crack Survey Date:** 9-21-2010

**Bridge Length:** 266.22 ft (81.1 m)  
**Bridge Width:** 40.0 ft (12.2 m)  
**Skew:** 39.17°  
**Number of Spans:** 3  
  - **Span 1:** 82.11 ft (25.0 m)  
  - **Span 2:** 100.36 ft (30.6 m)  
  - **Span 3:** 83.75 ft (25.5 m)  
**Number of Placements:** 1

**Bridge Age:** 29 months  
**Crack Density:** 0.160 m/m²  
**Span 1:** 0.240 m/m²  
**Span 2:** 0.170 m/m²  
**Span 3:** 0.060 m/m²

Figure 11: US-59 6 (Survey 1)
**Bridge Number:** 59-30-21.85  
**Bridge Location:** NB US-59 over Midland R.R.  
**Construction Date:** 4-30-2008  
**Crack Survey Date:** 7-15-2011

**Bridge Length:** 266.22 ft (81.1 m)  
**Bridge Width:** 40.0 ft (12.2 m)  
**Skew:** 39.17°  
**Number of Spans:** 3  
**Span 1:** 82.11 ft (25.0 m)  
**Span 2:** 100.36 ft (30.6 m)  
**Span 3:** 83.75 ft (25.5 m)  
**Number of Placements:** 1  

**Bridge Age:** 38.5 months  
**Crack Density:** 0.198 m/m²  
**Span 1:** 0.255 m/m²  
**Span 2:** 0.182 m/m²  
**Span 3:** 0.163 m/m²

*Figure 12: US-59 6 (Survey 2)*
US-59 7

US-59 7 was surveyed at 31 months. This bridge has deck panels supported by prestressed girders. Ames was the contractor. The concrete contains 535 lb/yd\(^3\) (317 kg/m\(^3\)) of cementitious material with 60% cement, 35% slag, and 5% silica fume, and granite coarse aggregate. The concrete for this deck had an average slump of 3¼ in. (85 mm) and an average air content of 6.25 percent. The w/c ratio is 0.42, and the compressive strength was 4720 psi (32.5 MPa). The slump and the compressive strength fall within the range specified for LC-HPC. The air content is just slightly lower than specified minimum of 6.5 percent, and the w/c ratio is lower than the specified minimum of 0.44 for LC-HPC. US-59 7 has a very low crack density, 0.010 m/m\(^2\), as shown in Figure 13. Only six cracks are visible on this bridge deck, and they were all relatively short. Cracking at the joints between the panels does not seem to be much of a problem.

This deck has the lowest crack density of all of the US-59 bridges. It is also lower than for any of the LC-HPC decks with either prestressed or steel girders.

US-59 8

US-59 8 was surveyed at 33 months. This is the twin to US-59 7, with deck panels supported by prestressed girders, and contains the same concrete mixture properties. It was constructed by Ames. The plastic concrete had an average slump of 2½ in. (65 mm) and an average air content of 6.25 percent. The w/c ratio is 0.42, and the compressive strength was 4580 psi (31.6 MPa). The crack density was 0.039 m/m\(^2\), as shown in Figure 14, which is low.

As with US-59 7, only a small number of cracks are visible on this bridge deck and no cracking is observed at the joints between the deck panels. The w/c ratio and the air content is the same for the twin bridges, and the compressive strength and slumps are similar.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Bridge Number:</strong> 59-30-18.76</th>
<th><strong>Bridge Length:</strong> 101.7 m (333.5 ft)</th>
<th><strong>Bridge Age:</strong> 31 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bridge Location:</strong> SB US-59 Over I-35</td>
<td><strong>Bridge Width:</strong> 7.9 m (26.0 ft)</td>
<td><strong>Crack Density:</strong> 0.010 m/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction Date:</strong> 11-1-2008</td>
<td><strong>Skew:</strong> 2.3°</td>
<td><strong>Span 1:</strong> 0.028 m/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crack Survey Date:</strong> 7-18-2011</td>
<td><strong>Number of Spans:</strong> 4</td>
<td><strong>Span 2:</strong> 0.000 m/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Span 3:</strong> 0.003 m/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Span 4:</strong> 0.014 m/m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Span 1: 23.0 m (75.3 ft)  
Span 2: 30.5 m (100.0 ft)  
Span 3: 27.7 m (91.0 ft)  
Span 4: 20.5 m (67.3 ft)

---

Figure 13: US-59 7
**Figure 14: US-59**

**Bridge Number:** 59-30-18.75  
**Bridge Location:** NB US-59 Over I-35  
**Construction Date:** 10-29-2008  
**Crack Survey Date:** 7-18-2011  

**Bridge Length:** 333.5 ft (101.7 m)  
**Bridge Width:** 26.0 ft (7.9 m)  
**Skew:** 2.3°  
**Number of Spans:** 4  
- **Span 1:** 75.3 ft (23.0 m)  
- **Span 2:** 100.0 ft (30.5 m)  
- **Span 3:** 91.0 ft (27.7 m)  
- **Span 4:** 67.3 ft (20.5 m)

**Bridge Age:** 33 months  
**Crack Density:** 0.039 m/m²  
- **Span 1:** 0.050 m/m²  
- **Span 2:** 0.023 m/m²  
- **Span 3:** 0.046 m/m²  
- **Span 4:** 0.040 m/m²
This bridge deck is supported by prestressed girders and has a silica fume overlay. Beachner was the contractor. The concrete in the subdeck contains 600 lb/yd$^3$ (356 kg/m$^3$) of cement and limestone coarse aggregate. The average slump for the subdeck was 3¾ in. (95 mm) and the average air content was 6.25 percent. The w/c ratio is 0.44, and the compressive strength for the subdeck was 5110 psi (35.2 MPa). The slump, w/c ratio, and compressive strength are within the limits set by LC-HPC specifications, but the air is slightly lower than the minimum of 6.5 percent. The crack density at 33 months is 0.719 m/m$^2$, as shown in Figure 15. Many of the cracks are short and branch off each other in patterns that can be best described as map cracking. This type of cracking resembles plastic shrinkage cracking and could be attributed to delayed curing. Cracks are present throughout the length of the bridge, but more cracking is concentrated in the negative moment regions of the deck.

This deck has the highest crack density for any of the US-59 decks and is much higher than the density of 0.136 m/m$^2$ for the control bridge deck in the LC-HPC study that is supported by prestressed girders. The crack density is also higher than the value at 36 months of 0.410 m/m$^2$ for the old silica fume overlay decks and the average of 0.520 m/m$^2$ for the control decks supported by steel girders.
Bridge Number: 59-30-24.51  
Bridge Location: SB US-59 over Stafford Rd.  
Construction Date: 10-21-2008  
Crack Survey Date: 7-11-2011  

Bridge Length: 225.5 ft (68.7 m)  
Bridge Width: 40.0 ft (12.2 m)  
Skew: 0°  
Number of Spans: 3  
  Span 1: 71.3 ft (21.7 m)  
  Span 2: 83.0 ft (25.3 m)  
  Span 3: 71.3 (21.7 m)  
Number of Placements: 1  

Bridge Age: 33 months  
Crack Density: 0.719 m/m²  
  Span 1: 0.369 m/m²  
  Span 2: 0.895 m/m²  
  Span 3: 0.875 m/m²
This is the twin to US-59 9. As with US-59 9, the deck is supported by prestressed girders and Beachner was the contractor. This deck, however, is monolithic, and the concrete contains limestone coarse aggregate, 1.55-in. long synthetic fibers, and a lower cement content, 560 lb/yd$^3$ (332 kg/m$^3$), than US-59 9. The average slump was 3 in. (75 mm) and the average air content was 7.0 percent for the subdeck. The w/c ratio for this deck is 0.42, and the compressive strength was 5100 psi (35.2 MPa). The crack density at 31 months was 0.150 m/m$^2$, as shown in Figure 16.

This deck has more cracking that the other US-59 decks supported by prestressed girders with no overlays in this study. The crack density for this deck is similar to that of the control bridge deck supported by prestressed girders at 36 months (0.136 m/m$^2$). Most of the cracking on US-59 10 is in the middle span and over the piers of the bridge. Most of the cracks on this bridge are short.

The crack density is less than a fourth of the crack density of its twin, US-59 9. Since this deck does not have an overlay, has a lower cement content, a lower average slump, and a higher average air content, the crack density would be expected to be lower than that observed for US-59 9. With all of the differences between the two twin decks, it is hard to conclude if the fibers helped decrease cracking for US-59 10.
Figure 16: US-59 10

Bridge Number: 59-30-24.50
Bridge Location: NB US-59 over Stafford Rd.
Construction Date: 12-6-2008
Crack Survey Date: 7-8-2011

Bridge Length: 225.5 ft (68.7 m)
Bridge Width: 40.0 ft (12.2 m)
Skew: 0°
Number of Spans: 3
Span 1: 71.3 ft (21.7 m)
Span 2: 83.0 ft (25.3 m)
Span 3: 71.3 (21.7 m)
Number of Placements: 1

Bridge Age: 31 months
Crack Density: 0.150 m/m²
Span 1: 0.039 m/m²
Span 2: 0.234 m/m²
Span 3: 0.189 m/m²
This deck is supported by prestressed girders and has a silica fume overlay. Reece was the contractor. The concrete contains 620 lb/yd$^3$ (368 kg/m$^3$) of cement and limestone coarse aggregate. The subdeck concrete had an average slump of 4¼ in. (120 mm) and an average air content of 7.75 percent. The w/c ratio is 0.44, and the compressive strength of the subdeck was 4480 psi (30.9 MPa). The air content, w/c ratio, and compressive strength all fall within the specified ranges for LC-HPC, but the slump is higher than the maximum specified slump of 3½ in. (90 mm). The crack density at 33 months is 0.213 m/m$^2$, as shown in Figure 17. Cracks appear throughout the deck, with the longest cracks being located in the center span.

The crack density for this bridge is higher than crack density of 0.136 m/m$^2$ for the control bridge deck with prestressed girders, but significantly lower than that of US-59 9 (0.719 m/m$^2$), which also is supported by prestressed girders and has a silica fume overlay. The crack density is much higher than the decks on US-59 that do not have overlays.
Bridge Number: 59-30-24.82
Bridge Location: SB US-59 over West Fork Tauty Creek
Construction Date: 10-3-08
Crack Survey Date: 7-11-11

Bridge Length: 172.5 ft (52.6 m)
Bridge Width: 50.0 ft (15.2 m)
Skew: 0°

Number of Spans: 3
Span 1: 56.3 ft (17.1 m)
Span 2: 60.0 ft (18.3 m)
Span 3: 56.3 ft (17.1 m)

Number of Placements: 1

Bridge Age: 33 months
Crack Density: 0.213 m/m²
Span 1: 0.160 m/m²
Span 2: 0.258 m/m²
Span 3: 0.223 m/m²

Figure 17: US-59 11
US-59 12

This is the twin to US-59 11. As with US-59 11, it is supported by prestressed girders and was constructed by Reece. The concrete also contains limestone coarse aggregate, but unlike US-59 11, does not have an overlay, has ¾-in. long polypropylene fibers in the bridge deck, and has a lower cement content of 560 lb/yd$^3$ (332 kg/m$^3$). The average slump was 4 in. (100 mm), and the average air content was 7.00 percent. The w/c ratio is 0.42, and the compressive strength was 5740 psi (39.6 MPa). The air content is within the range specified for LC-HPC, but the slump is higher than the specified maximum, the w/c ratio is lower than the specified minimum, and the compressive strength is higher than the specified maximum. The crack density at 30 months is 0.022 m/m$^2$ (Figure 18). Very little cracking is observed on the bridge, which is consistent with other US-59 and LC-HPC bridge decks supported by prestressed girders with a low cement contents and no overlays at a similar age.

The crack density is lower than the average of 0.197 m/m$^2$ for the two LC-HPC decks supported by prestressed girders. It is also much lower than the averages for both the LC-HPC decks supported by steel girders and the old monolithic decks, all of which were supported by steel girders.

The crack density of US-59 12 is significantly lower than the crack density its twin, which could be attributed to the fact that US-59 12 has no overlay. Fibers in the US-59 12 deck may have also attributed to its lower crack density, but a direct comparison to a matching structure without fibers is not available in this study. The very low crack density, however, suggests that follow-up work should be considered.
**Bridge Number:** 59-30-24.83  
**Bridge Location:** NB US-59 over West Fork Tauy Creek  
**Construction Date:** 1-9-2009  
**Crack Survey Date:** 7-8-2011  

**Bridge Length:** 172.5 ft (52.6 m)  
**Bridge Width:** 50.0 ft (15.2 m)  
**Skew:** 0°  
**Number of Spans:** 3  
  - **Span 1:** 56.3 ft (17.1 m)  
  - **Span 2:** 60.0 ft (18.3 m)  
  - **Span 3:** 56.3 ft (17.1 m)  
**Number of Placements:** 1  
**Bridge Age:** 30 months  
**Crack Density:** 0.022 m/m²  
  - **Span 1:** 0.013 m/m²  
  - **Span 2:** 0.030 m/m²  
  - **Span 3:** 0.021 m/m²

Figure 18: US-59 12
Summary of Results

Of the twelve bridges surveyed, eight have prestressed concrete girders and four have steel girders. Four of the decks with prestressed girders have partial-depth precast deck panels, two are monolithic and two have overlays. Of the four decks with steel girders, two have overlays, and two are monolithic. The crack survey results for the US-59 bridge decks are compared with crack densities obtained from the LC-HPC bridge deck study.

Crack density is plotted versus bridge deck age for the two prestressed girder bridges on US-59 with monolithic decks and the four with deck panels in Figure 19. For the latter, an increase of cracking at the joints of deck panels has not been observed to date. All six bridge decks have low crack densities. The crack densities for the two decks without deck panels at the age of the last survey are 0.022 m/m² and 0.150 m/m² with an average of 0.086 m/m². The range for the four decks with deck panels at the same age is 0.010 m/m² to 0.056 m/m² with an average of 0.039 m/m². The two averages are very low, and the ranges are similar. Because of this, all the decks shown in Figure 19 will be referred to as decks supported by prestressed girders without a designation for deck panels for the remainder of figures in this report.
Past research has shown that decks supported by prestressed girders exhibit less cracking than decks supported by steel girders (PCA 1970). Figure 20 shows the plot of crack density versus deck age for LC-HPC and US-59 monolithic decks supported by steel girders compared to US-59 decks supported by prestressed girders. These results support the earlier findings. The small number of US-59 decks supported by prestressed girders shows a clear trend of less cracking than the decks supported by steel girders.

Figure 19: Crack density versus age for US-59 decks supported by prestressed girders with and without deck panels (DP)
Past research has shown that the use of silica fume overlays (SFO) increases cracking (Lindquist et al. 2005). Knowing this, it would be expected that the decks with overlays on US-59 would exhibit higher crack densities than the monolithic decks. Figure 21 compares crack density versus deck age for the decks for the decks along US-59 supported by prestressed concrete girders with and without SFOs. Both of the SFO decks in Figure 21 exhibit higher crack densities than any of the decks without SFOs. These results support the earlier findings.
Crack density is plotted versus age for the US-59 decks supported by steel girders with and without SFOs in Figure 22. The average crack densities for the decks with and without SFOs as of the date of the last survey are, respectively, 0.259 and 0.263 m/m². The averages for the two deck types are very similar. These results do not match the findings of past research that show benefits of monolithic decks. This could be attributed to the small number of decks, varying plastic and hardened concrete properties, and the practices employed by different contractors. Also US-59 2, which is a monolithic deck, has the highest crack density of the decks in this study, which may be attributed to its high compressive strength of 6390 psi (44.1 MPa).
The average crack density for the US-59 monolithic decks on steel girders is higher than the average for LC-HPC steel girder bridge decks, as shown in Figure 23. The two monolithic decks on US-59 were not constructed in accordance to LC-HPC specifications. They both have a w/c ratio of 0.42, and tining was used for finishing, which is prohibited in the LC-HPC specifications. Also, the difference between the concrete and air temperature for both decks was much higher than the average difference for the LC-HPC decks. Because of this, it would be expected that the monolithic US-59 steel girder bridge decks would crack more than the LC-HPC bridges. US-59 2 has the highest crack density of all of the bridges shown in Figure 23, but it also has a very high compressive strength of 6390 psi (44.1 MPa).
Crack density is plotted versus deck age for monolithic LC-HPC, control, and US-59 decks supported by prestressed girders in Figure 24. The monolithic US-59 decks supported by prestressed girders have a lower crack density than the average for the LC-HPC decks on prestressed girders. The crack densities for the US-59 decks are close to the density for the monolithic control deck on prestressed girders, as shown in Figure 24. It is currently not clear why the average monolithic decks supported by prestressed girders on US-59 is lower than the average for the LC-HPC decks, although the small number of decks limits the potential for well-defined conclusions.
No benefits of fibers could be determined from the decks within this study. Only one deck, US-59 5, contains fibers in the overlay. This deck is supported by steel girders and has a 36-month crack density of 0.310 m/m². Its twin, US-59 6, contains no fibers in the overlay and a lower crack density of 0.188 m/m². US-59 10 and US-59 12 both have fibers in the deck and are supported by prestressed concrete girders. The crack densities for these decks were 0.150 m/m² and 0.022 m/m², respectively. Since all of the decks supported by prestressed girders in this study have low crack densities, no conclusion involving fibers could be drawn.
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Bridge deck crack surveys were performed on twelve bridges on US-59 to determine the effects of mixture proportions, deck type, and girder types on the crack density of reinforced concrete bridge decks. Of the twelve, eight have prestressed concrete girders and four have steel girders. Four of the decks with prestressed girders have partial-depth precast deck panels, two are monolithic, and two have overlays. Of the four decks with steel girders, two have overlays and two are monolithic. The surveys were performed, crack maps were analyzed, and cracking trends were observed. The results for the US-59 bridge decks were compared with crack densities obtained in a study of low-cracking high-performance concrete (LC-HPC) bridge decks in Kansas.

The following tentative conclusions are based on the results of this study to date:

1. Monolithic concrete bridge decks supported by prestressed concrete girders crack less than decks supported by steel girders in the first three years, which is consistent with earlier findings.

2. At an age of approximately three years, the US-59 monolithic decks on prestressed girders with deck panels are not displaying significant cracking at the joints of the panels.

3. The US-59 monolithic decks supported by prestressed girders with and without deck panels are performing similarly.

4. The US-59 decks supported by prestressed girders without overlays exhibit significantly less cracking than the decks on prestressed girders with overlays.

5. The US-59 monolithic decks supported by steel girders exhibit higher crack densities than the LC-HPC decks on steel girders. This could be attributed to the lower w/c ratios, higher compressive strengths and temperature differences, and the finishing methods used for the US-59 decks compared to those for the LC-HPC decks.
6. Since only one deck contains fibers in the overlay and all of the decks with prestressed girders, including the two containing fibers in the deck, have low crack densities, no benefits from the use of fibers could be established within this study.
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APPENDIX A

LC-HPC SPECIFICATIONS*

*From Yuan et al. (2011)
Add a new SECTION to DIVISION 1100:

LOW-CRACKING HIGH-PERFORMANCE CONCRETE – AGGREGATES

1.0 DESCRIPTION

This specification is for coarse aggregates, fine aggregates, and mixed aggregates (both coarse and fine material) for use in bridge deck construction.

2.0 REQUIREMENTS

a. Coarse Aggregates for Concrete.
   (1) Composition. Provide coarse aggregate that is crushed or uncrushed gravel, chat, or crushed stone. (Consider calcite cemented sandstone, rhyolite, basalt and granite as crushed stone.
   (2) Quality. The quality requirements for coarse aggregate for bridge decks are in TABLE 1-1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete Classification</th>
<th>Soundness (min.)</th>
<th>Wear (max.)</th>
<th>Absorption (max.)</th>
<th>Acid Insol. (min.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3.5 (AE) (LC-HPC)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   1 Grade 3.5 (AE) (LC-HPC) – Bridge Deck concrete with select coarse aggregate for wear and acid insolubility.

   (3) Product Control.
      (a) Deleterious Substances. Maximum allowed deleterious substances by weight are:
         • Material passing the No. 200 sieve (KT-2).................2.5%
         • Shale or Shale-like material (KT-8)..........................0.5%
         • Clay lumps and friable particles (KT-7).....................1.0%
         • Sticks (wet) (KT-35)...........................................0.1%
         • Coal (AASHTO T 113)..........................................0.5%

      (b) Uniformity of Supply. Designate or determine the fineness modulus (grading factor) according to the procedure listed in the Construction Manual Part V, Section 17 before delivery, or from the first 10 samples tested and accepted. Provide aggregate that is within ±0.20 of the average fineness modulus.
(4) Do not combine siliceous fine aggregate with siliceous coarse aggregate if neither meet the requirements of subsection 2.0c.(2)(a). Consider such fine material, regardless of proportioning, as a Basic Aggregate that must conform to subsection 2.0c.

(5) Handling Coarse Aggregates.

(a) Segregation. Before acceptance testing, remix all aggregate segregated by transportation or stockpiling operations.

(b) Stockpiling.

- Stockpile accepted aggregates in layers 3 to 5 feet thick. Berm each layer so that aggregates do not "cone" down into lower layers.
- Keep aggregates from different sources, with different gradings, or with a significantly different specific gravity separated.
- Transport aggregate in a manner that insures uniform gradation.
- Do not use aggregates that have become mixed with earth or foreign material.
- Stockpile or bin all washed aggregate produced or handled by hydraulic methods for 12 hours (minimum) before batching. Rail shipment exceeding 12 hours is acceptable for binning provided the car bodies permit free drainage.
- Provide additional stockpiling or binning in cases of high or non-uniform moisture.

b. Fine Aggregates for Basic Aggregate in MA for Concrete.

(1) Composition.

(a) Type FA-A. Provide either singly or in combination natural occurring sand resulting from the disintegration of siliceous or calcareous rock, or manufactured sand produced by crushing predominately siliceous materials.

(b) Type FA-B. Provide fine granular particles resulting from the crushing of zinc and lead ores (Chat).

(2) Quality.

(a) Mortar strength and Organic Impurities. If the District Materials Engineer determines it is necessary, because of unknown characteristics of new sources or changes in existing sources, provide fine aggregates that comply with these requirements:

- Mortar Strength (Mortar Strength Test, KTMR-26). Compressive strength when combined with Type III (high early strength) cement:
  - At age 24 hours, minimum..............100%*
  - At age 72 hours, minimum..............100%*
  *Compared to strengths of specimens of the same proportions, consistency, cement and standard 20-30 Ottawa sand.

- Organic Impurities (Organic Impurities in Fine Aggregate for Concrete Test, AASHTO T 21). The color of the supernatant liquid is equal to or lighter than the reference standard solution.

(b) Hardening characteristics. Specimens made of a mixture of 3 parts FA-B and 1 part cement with sufficient water for molding will harden within 24 hours. There is no hardening requirement for FA-A.

(3) Product Control.
(a) Deleterious Substances.

- Type FA-A: Maximum allowed deleterious substances by weight are:
  - Material passing the No. 200 sieve (KT-2) ....................... 2.0%
  - Shale or Shale-like material (KT-8) .......................... 0.5%
  - Clay lumps and friable particles (KT-7) .................... 1.0%
  - Sticks (wet) (KT-35) ............................................ 0.1%

- Type FA-B: Provide materials that are free of organic impurities, sulfates, carbonates, or alkali. Maximum allowed deleterious substances by weight are:
  - Material passing the No. 200 sieve (KT-2) ....................... 2.0%
  - Clay lumps & friable particles (KT-7) ....................... 0.25%

(c) Uniformity of Supply. Designate or determine the fineness modulus (grading factor) according to the procedure listed in the Construction Manual Part V, Section 17 before delivery, or from the first 10 samples tested and accepted. Provide aggregate that is within ±0.20 of the average fineness modulus.

(4) Proportioning of Coarse and Fine Aggregate. Use a proven optimization method such as the Shilstone Method or the KU Mix Method.

- Do not combine siliceous fine aggregate with siliceous coarse aggregate if neither meet the requirements of subsection 2.0c.(2)(a). Consider such fine material, regardless of proportioning, as a Basic Aggregate and must conform to the requirements in subsection 2.0c.

(5) Handling and Stockpiling Fine Aggregates.

- Keep aggregates from different sources, with different gradings or with a significantly different specific gravity separated.
- Transport aggregate in a manner that insures uniform grading.
- Do not use aggregates that have become mixed with earth or foreign material.
- Stockpile or bin all washed aggregate produced or handled by hydraulic methods for 12 hours (minimum) before batching. Rail shipment exceeding 12 hours is acceptable for binning provided the car bodies permit free drainage.
- Provide additional stockpiling or binning in cases of high or non-uniform moisture.

c. Mixed Aggregates for Concrete.

(1) Composition.

- Total Mixed Aggregate (TMA). A natural occurring, predominately siliceous aggregate from a single source that meets the Wetting & Drying Test (KTMR-23) and grading requirements.
- Mixed Aggregate. A combination of basic and coarse aggregates that meet TABLE 1-2.

- Basic Aggregate (BA). Singly or in combination, a natural occurring, predominately siliceous aggregate that does not meet the grading requirements of Total Mixed Aggregate.

- Coarse Aggregate. Granite, crushed sandstone, chat, and gravel. Gravel that is not approved under subsection 2.0c.(2) may be used, but only with basic aggregate that meets the wetting and drying requirements of TMA.

(2) Quality.
(a) Total Mixed Aggregate.
- Soundness, minimum (KTMR-21) ..................0.90
- Wear, maximum (KTMR-25) .........................50%
- Wetting and Drying Test (KTMR-23) for Total Mixed Aggregate
  Concrete Modulus of Rupture:
  - At 60 days, minimum..........................550 psi
  - At 365 days, minimum..........................550 psi
- Expansion:
  - At 180 days, maximum.........................0.050%
  - At 365 days, maximum.........................0.070%
Aggregates produced from the following general areas are exempt from the Wetting and Drying Test:
- Blue River Drainage Area.
- The Arkansas River from Sterling, west to the Colorado state line.
- The Neosho River from Emporia to the Oklahoma state line.

(b) Basic Aggregate.
- Retain 10% or more of the BA on the No. 8 sieve before adding the Coarse Aggregate. Aggregate with less than 10% retained on the No. 8 sieve is to be considered a Fine Aggregate described in subsection 2.0b. Provide material with less than 5% calcareous material retained on the \( \frac{3}{8} \)" sieve.
- Soundness, minimum (KTMR-21) ..................0.90
- Wear, maximum (KTMR-25) .........................50%
- Mortar strength and Organic Impurities. If the District Materials Engineer determines it is necessary, because of unknown characteristics of new sources or changes in existing sources, provide mixed aggregates that comply with these requirements:
  - Mortar Strength (Mortar Strength Test, KTMR-26). Compressive strength when combined with Type III (high early strength) cement:
    - At age 24 hours, minimum.................100%*
    - At age 72 hours, minimum.................100%*
    *Compared to strengths of specimens of the same proportions, consistency, cement and standard 20-30 Ottawa sand.
  - Organic Impurities (Organic Impurities in Fine Aggregate for Concrete Test, AASHTO T 21). The color of the supernatant liquid is equal to or lighter than the reference standard solution.

(3) Product Control.
   (a) Size Requirement. Provide mixed aggregates that comply with the grading requirements in TABLE 1-2.
### TABLE 1-2: GRADING REQUIREMENTS FOR MIXED AGGREGATES FOR CONCRETE BRIDGE DECKS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Percent Retained on Individual Sieves - Square Mesh Sieves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1½&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA-4</td>
<td>Optimized for LC-HPC Bridge Decks*</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Use a proven optimization method, such as the Shilstone Method or the KU Mix Method. Note: Manufactured sands used to obtain optimum gradations have caused difficulties in pumping, placing or finishing. Natural coarse sands and pea gravels used to obtain optimum gradations have worked well in concretes that were pumped.

(b) Deleterious Substances. Maximum allowed deleterious substances by weight are:
- Material passing the No. 200 sieve (KT-2) .................. 2.5%
- Shale or Shale-like material (KT-8) ......................... 0.5%
- Clay lumps and friable particles (KT-7) ..................... 1.0%
- Sticks (wet) (KT-35) ...................................... 0.1%
- Coal (AASHTO T 113) ...................................... 0.5%

(c) Uniformity of Supply. Designate or determine the fineness modulus (grading factor) according to the procedure listed in the Construction Manual Part V, Section 17 before delivery, or from the first 10 samples tested and accepted. Provide aggregate that is within ±0.20 of the average fineness modulus.

(4) Handling Mixed Aggregates.
(a) Segregation. Before acceptance testing, remix all aggregate segregated by transit or stockpiling.
(b) Stockpiling.
- Keep aggregates from different sources, with different gradings or with a significantly different specific gravity separated.
- Transport aggregate in a manner that ensures uniform grading.
- Do not use aggregates that have become mixed with earth or foreign material.
- Stockpile or bin all washed aggregate produced or handled by hydraulic methods for 12 hours (minimum) before batching. Rail shipment exceeding 12 hours is acceptable for binning provided the car bodies permit free drainage.
- Provide additional stockpiling or binning in cases of high or non-uniform moisture.
3.0 TEST METHODS
Test aggregates according to the applicable provisions of SECTION 1117.

4.0 PREQUALIFICATION
Aggregates for concrete must be prequalified according to subsection 1101.2.

5.0 BASIS OF ACCEPTANCE
The Engineer will accept aggregates for concrete based on the prequalification required by this specification, and subsection 1101.4.

KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
SPECIAL PROVISION TO THE
STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS 2007 EDITION

Add a new SECTION to DIVISION 400:

LOW-CRACKING HIGH-PERFORMANCE CONCRETE

1.0 DESCRIPTION
Provide the grades of low-cracking high-performance concrete (LC-HPC) specified in the Contract Documents.

2.0 MATERIALS
Coarse, Fine & Mixed Aggregate .................................................... 07-PS0165, latest version
Admixtures ........................................................................................ DIVISION 1400
Cement ............................................................................................ DIVISION 2000
Water ............................................................................................. DIVISION 2400

3.0 CONCRETE MIX DESIGN
Provide aggregate gradations that comply with 07-PS0165, latest version and Contract Documents.
If desired, contact the DME for available information to help determine approximate proportions to produce concrete having the required characteristics on the project.
Take full responsibility for the actual proportions of the concrete mix, even if the Engineer assists in the design of the concrete mix.
Submit all concrete mix designs to the Engineer for review and approval. Submit completed volumetric mix designs on KDOT Form No. 694 (or other forms approved by the DME).
Do not place any concrete on the project until the Engineer approves the concrete mix designs. Once the Engineer approves the concrete mix design, do not make changes without the Engineer’s approval.

Design concrete mixes that comply with these requirements:

b. Air-Entrained Concrete for Bridge Decks. Design air-entrained concrete for structures according to TABLE 1-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade of Concrete Type of Aggregate (SECTION 1100)</th>
<th>lb of Cementitious per cu yd of Concrete, min/max</th>
<th>lb of Water per lb of Cementitious*</th>
<th>Designated Air Content Percent by Volume**</th>
<th>Specified 28-day Compressive Strength Range, psi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3.5 (AE) (LC-HPC) MA-4</td>
<td>500 / 540</td>
<td>0.44 – 0.45</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>3500 – 5500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Limits of lb. of water per lb. of cementitious. Includes free water in aggregates, but excludes water of absorption of the aggregates. With approval of the Engineer, may be decreased to 0.43 on-site.

**Concrete with an air content less than 6.5% or greater than 9.5% shall be rejected. The Engineer will sample concrete for tests at the discharge end of the conveyor, bucket or if pumped, the piping.

c. Portland Cement. Select the type of portland cement specified in the Contract Documents. Mineral admixtures are prohibited for Grade 3.5 (AE) (LC-HPC) concrete.

d. Design Air Content. Use the middle of the specified air content range for the design of air-entrained concrete.

e. Admixtures for Air-Entrainment and Water Reduction. Verify that the admixtures used are compatible and will work as intended without detrimental effects. Use the dosages recommended by the admixture manufacturers to determine the quantity of each admixture for the concrete mix design. Incorporate and mix the admixtures into the concrete mixtures according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

Set retarding or accelerating admixtures are prohibited for use in Grade 3.5 (AE) (LC-HPC) concrete. These include Type B, C, D, E, and G chemical admixtures as defined by ASTM C 494/C 494M – 08. Do not use admixtures containing chloride ion (CL) in excess of 0.1 percent by mass of the admixture in Grade 3.5 (AE) (LC-HPC) concrete.

(1) Air-Entraining Admixture. If specified, use an air-entraining admixture in the concrete mixture. If another admixture is added to an air-entrained concrete mixture, determine if it is necessary to adjust the air-entraining admixture dosage to maintain the specified air content. Use only a vinyl resin or tall oil based air-entraining admixture.

(2) Water-Reducing Admixture. Use a Type A water reducer or a dual rated Type A water reducer – Type F high-range water reducer, when necessary to obtain compliance with the specified fresh and hardened concrete properties.
Include a batching sequence in the concrete mix design. Consider the location of the concrete plant in relation to the job site, and identify the approximate quantity, when and at what location the water-reducing admixture is added to the concrete mixture.

The manufacturer may recommend mixing revolutions beyond the limits specified in subsection 5.0. If necessary and with the approval of the Engineer, address the additional mixing revolutions (the Engineer will allow up to 60 additional revolutions) in the concrete mix design.

Slump control may be accomplished in the field only by redosing with a water-reducing admixture. If time and temperature limits are not exceeded, and if at least 30 mixing revolutions remain, the Engineer will allow redosing with up to 50% of the original dose.

(3) Adjust the mix designs during the course of the work when necessary to achieve compliance with the specified fresh and hardened concrete properties. Only permit such modifications after trial batches to demonstrate that the adjusted mix design will result in concrete that complies with the specified concrete properties.

The Engineer will allow adjustments to the dose rate of air entraining and water-reducing chemical admixtures to compensate for environmental changes during placement without a new concrete mix design or qualification batch.

f. Designated Slump. Designate a slump for each concrete mix design within the limits in TABLE 1-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1-2: DESIGNATED SLUMP*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of Work</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3.5 (AE) (LC-HPC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The Engineer will obtain sample concrete at the discharge end of the conveyor, bucket or if pumped, the piping.

If potential problems are apparent at the discharge of any truck, and the concrete is tested at the truck discharge (according to subsection 6.0), the Engineer will reject concrete with a slump greater than 3 ½ inches at the truck discharge, 3 inches if being placed by a bucket.

4.0 REQUIREMENTS FOR COMBINED MATERIALS


(1) Cement. Measure cement as packed by the manufacturer. A sack of cement is considered as 0.04 cubic yards weighing 94 pounds net. Measure bulk cement by weight. In either case, the measurement must be accurate to within 0.5% throughout the range of use.

(2) Water. Measure the mixing water by weight or volume. In either case, the measurement must be accurate to within 1% throughout the range of use.

(3) Aggregates. Measure the aggregates by weight. The measurement must be accurate to within 0.5% throughout the range of use.

(4) Admixtures. Measure liquid admixtures by weight or volume. If liquid admixtures are used in small quantities in proportion to the cement as in the case of air-entraining agents, use readily adjustable mechanical dispensing equipment capable of being set to deliver the required
quantity and to cut off the flow automatically when this quantity is discharged. The measurement must be accurate to within 3% of the quantity required.

**b. Testing of Aggregates.** Testing Aggregates at the Batch Site. Provide the Engineer with reasonable facilities at the batch site for obtaining samples of the aggregates. Provide adequate and safe laboratory facilities at the batch site allowing the Engineer to test the aggregates for compliance with the specified requirements.

KDOT will sample and test aggregates from each source to determine their compliance with specifications. Do not batch the concrete mixture until the Engineer has determined that the aggregates comply with the specifications. KDOT will conduct sampling at the batching site, and test samples according to the Sampling and Testing Frequency Chart in Part V. For QC/QA Contracts, establish testing intervals within the specified minimum frequency.

After initial testing is complete and the Engineer has determined that the aggregate process control is satisfactory, use the aggregates concurrently with sampling and testing as long as tests indicate compliance with specifications. When batching, sample the aggregates as near the point of batching as feasible. Sample from the stream as the storage bins or weigh hoppers are loaded. If samples can not be taken from the stream, take them from approved stockpiles, or use a template and sample from the conveyor belt. If test results indicate an aggregate does not comply with specifications, cease concrete production using that aggregate. Unless a tested and approved stockpile for that aggregate is available at the batch plant, do not use any additional aggregate from that source and specified grading until subsequent sampling and testing of that aggregate indicate compliance with specifications. When tests are completed and the Engineer is satisfied that process control is again adequate, production of concrete using aggregates tested concurrently with production may resume.

**c. Handling of Materials.**

1. Aggregate Stockpiles. Approved stockpiles are permitted only at the batch plant and only for small concrete placements or for the purpose of maintaining concrete production. Mark the approved stockpile with an “Approved Materials” sign. Provide a suitable stockpile area at the batch plant so that aggregates are stored without detrimental segregation or contamination. At the plant, limit stockpiles of tested and approved coarse aggregate and fine aggregate to 250 tons each, unless approved for more by the Engineer. If mixed aggregate is used, limit the approved stockpile to 500 tons, the size of each being proportional to the amount of each aggregate to be used in the mix.

Load aggregates into the mixer so no material foreign to the concrete or material capable of changing the desired proportions is included. When 2 or more sizes or types of coarse or fine aggregates are used on the same project, only 1 size or type of each aggregate may be used for any one continuous concrete placement.

2. Segregation. Do not use segregated aggregates. Previously segregated materials may be thoroughly re-mixed and used when representative samples taken anywhere in the stockpile indicated a uniform gradation exists.

3. Cement. Protect cement in storage or stockpiled on the site from any damage by climatic conditions which would change the characteristics or usability of the material.

4. Moisture. Provide aggregate with a moisture content of ± 0.5% from the average of that day. If the moisture content in the aggregate varies by more than the above tolerance, take whatever corrective measures are necessary to bring the moisture to a constant and uniform consistency before placing concrete. This may be accomplished by handling or manipulating the
stockpiles to reduce the moisture content, or by adding moisture to the stockpiles in a manner producing uniform moisture content through all portions of the stockpile.

For plants equipped with an approved accurate moisture-determining device capable of determining the free moisture in the aggregates, and provisions made for batch to batch correction of the amount of water and the weight of aggregates added, the requirements relative to manipulating the stockpiles for moisture control will be waived. Any procedure used will not relieve the producer of the responsibility for delivery of concrete meeting the specified water-cement ratio and slump requirements.

Do not use aggregate in the form of frozen lumps in the manufacture of concrete.

(5) Separation of Materials in Tested and Approved Stockpiles. Only use KDOT Approved Materials. Provide separate means for storing materials approved by KDOT. If the producer elects to use KDOT Approved Materials for non-KDOT work, during the progress of a project requiring KDOT Approved Materials, inform the Engineer and agree to pay all costs for additional materials testing.

Clean all conveyors, bins and hoppers of unapproved materials before beginning the manufacture of concrete for KDOT work.

5.0 MIXING, DELIVERY, AND PLACEMENT LIMITATIONS

a. Concrete Batching, Mixing, and Delivery. Batch and mix the concrete in a central-mix plant, in a truck mixer, or in a drum mixer at the work site. Provide plant capacity and delivery capacity sufficient to maintain continuous delivery at the rate required. The delivery rate of concrete during concreting operations must provide for the proper handling, placing and finishing of the concrete.

Seek the Engineer’s approval of the concrete plant/batch site before any concrete is produced for the project. The Engineer will inspect the equipment, the method of storing and handling of materials, the production procedures, and the transportation and rate of delivery of concrete from the plant to the point of use. The Engineer will grant approval of the concrete plant/batch site based on compliance with the specified requirements. The Engineer may, at any time, rescind permission to use concrete from a previously approved concrete plant/batch site upon failure to comply with the specified requirements.

Clean the mixing drum before it is charged with the concrete mixture. Charge the batch into the mixing drum so that a portion of the water is in the drum before the aggregates and cementitious. Uniformly flow materials into the drum throughout the batching operation. Add all mixing water in the drum by the end of the first 15 seconds of the mixing cycle. Keep the throat of the drum free of accumulations that restrict the flow of materials into the drum.

Do not exceed the rated capacity (cubic yards shown on the manufacturer's plate on the mixer) of the mixer when batching the concrete. The Engineer will allow an overload of up to 10% above the rated capacity for central-mix plants and drum mixers at the work site, provided the concrete test data for strength, segregation and uniform consistency are satisfactory, and no concrete is spilled during the mixing cycle.

Operate the mixing drum at the speed specified by the mixer's manufacturer (shown on the manufacturer's plate on the mixer).

Mixing time is measured from the time all materials, except water, are in the drum. If it is necessary to increase the mixing time to obtain the specified percent of air in air-entrained concrete, the Engineer will determine the mixing time.
If the concrete is mixed in a central-mix plant or a drum mixer at the work site, mix the batch between 1 to 5 minutes at mixing speed. Do not exceed the maximum total 60 mixing revolutions. Mixing time begins after all materials, except water, are in the drum, and ends when the discharge chute opens. Transfer time in multiple drum mixers is included in mixing time. Mix time may be reduced for plants utilizing high performance mixing drums provided thoroughly mixed and uniform concrete is being produced with the proposed mix time. Performance of the plant must comply with Table A1.1, of ASTM C 94, Standard Specification for Ready Mixed Concrete. Five of the six tests listed in Table A1.1 must be within the limits of the specification to indicate that uniform concrete is being produced.

If the concrete is mixed in a truck mixer, mix the batch between 70 and 100 revolutions of the drum or blades at mixing speed. After the mixing is completed, set the truck mixer drum at agitating speed. Unless the mixing unit is equipped with an accurate device indicating and controlling the number of revolutions at mixing speed, perform the mixing at the batch plant and operate the mixing unit at agitating speed while traveling from the plant to the work site. Do not exceed 350 total revolutions (mixing and agitating).

If a truck mixer or truck agitator is used to transport concrete that was completely mixed in a stationary central mixer, agitate the concrete while transporting at the agitating speed specified by the manufacturer of the equipment (shown on the manufacturer's plate on the equipment). Do not exceed 250 total revolutions (additional re-mixing and agitating).

Provide a batch slip including batch weights of every constituent of the concrete and time for each batch of concrete delivered at the work site, issued at the batching plant that bears the time of charging of the mixer drum with cementitious and aggregates. Include quantities, type, product name and manufacturer of all admixtures on the batch ticket.

If non-agitating equipment is used for transportation of concrete, provide approved covers for protection against the weather when required by the Engineer.

Place non-agitated concrete within 30 minutes of adding the cement to the water.

Do not use concrete that has developed its initial set. Regardless of the speed of delivery and placement, the Engineer will suspend the concreting operations until corrective measures are taken if there is evidence that the concrete can not be adequately consolidated.

Adding water to concrete after the initial mixing is prohibited. Add all water at the plant. If needed, adjust slump through the addition of a water reducer according to subsection 3.0e.(2).

b. Placement Limitations.

(1) Concrete Temperature. Unless otherwise authorized by the Engineer, the temperature of the mixed concrete immediately before placement is a minimum of 55°F, and a maximum of 70°F. With approval by the Engineer, the temperature of the concrete may be adjusted 5°F above or below this range.

(2) Qualification Batch. For Grade 3.5 (AE) (LC-HPC) concrete, qualify a field batch (one truckload or at least 6 cubic yards) at least 35 days prior to commencement of placement of the bridge decks. Produce the qualification batch from the same plant that will supply the job concrete. Simulate haul time to the jobsite prior to discharge of the concrete for testing. Prior to placing concrete in the qualification slab and on the job, submit documentation to the Engineer verifying that the qualification batch concrete meets the requirements for air content, slump, temperature of plastic concrete, compressive strength, unit weight and other testing as required by the Engineer.

Before the concrete mixture with plasticizing admixture is used on the project, determine the air content of the qualification batch. Monitor the slump, air content, temperature and workability at initial batching and estimated time of concrete placement. If these properties are not
adequate, repeat the qualification batch until it can be demonstrated that the mix is within acceptable limits as specified in this specification.

(3) Placing Concrete at Night. Do not mix, place or finish concrete without sufficient natural light, unless an adequate and artificial lighting system approved by the Engineer is provided.

(4) Placing Concrete in Cold Weather. Unless authorized otherwise by the Engineer, mixing and concreting operations shall not proceed once the descending ambient air temperature reaches 40°F, and may not be initiated until an ascending ambient air temperature reaches 40°F. The ascending ambient air temperature for initiating concreting operations shall increase to 45°F if the maximum ambient air temperature is expected to be between 55°F and 60°F during or within 24 hours of placement and to 50°F if the ambient air temperature is expected to equal or exceed 60°F during or within 24 hours of placement.

If the Engineer permits placing concrete during cold weather, aggregates may be heated by either steam or dry heat before placing them in the mixer. Use an apparatus that heats the weight uniformly and is so arranged as to preclude the possible occurrence of overheated areas which might injure the materials. Do not heat aggregates directly by gas or oil flame or on sheet metal over fire. Aggregates that are heated in bins, by steam-coil or water-coil heating, or by other methods not detrimental to the aggregates may be used. The use of live steam on or through binned aggregates is prohibited. Unless otherwise authorized, maintain the temperature of the mixed concrete between 55°F to 70°F at the time of placing it in the forms. With approval by the Engineer, the temperature of the concrete may be adjusted up to 5°F above or below this range. Do not place concrete when there is a probability of air temperatures being more than 25°F below the temperature of the concrete during the first 24 hours after placement unless insulation is provided for both the deck and the girders. Do not, under any circumstances, continue concrete operations if the ambient air temperature is less than 20°F.

If the ambient air temperature is 40°F or less at the time the concrete is placed, the Engineer may permit the water and the aggregates be heated to at least 70°F, but not more than 120°F.

Do not place concrete on frozen subgrade or use frozen aggregates in the concrete.

(5) Placing Concrete in Hot Weather. When the ambient temperature is above 90°F, cool the forms, reinforcing steel, steel beam flanges, and other surfaces which will come in contact with the mix to below 90°F by means of a water spray or other approved methods. For Grade 3.5 (AE) (LC-HPC) concrete, cool the concrete mixture to maintain the temperature immediately before placement between 55°F and 70°F. With approval by the Engineer, the temperature of the concrete may be up to 5°F below or above this range.

Maintain the temperature of the concrete at time of placement within the specified temperature range by any combination of the following:

- Shading the materials storage areas or the production equipment.
- Cooling the aggregates by sprinkling with potable water.
- Cooling the aggregates or water by refrigeration or replacing a portion or all of the mix water with ice that is flaked or crushed to the extent that the ice will completely melt during mixing of the concrete.
- Liquid nitrogen injection.
6.0 INSPECTION AND TESTING

The Engineer will test the first truckload of concrete by obtaining a sample of fresh concrete at truck discharge and by obtaining a sample of fresh concrete at the discharge end of the conveyor, bucket or if pumped, the piping. The Engineer will obtain subsequent sample concrete for tests at the discharge end of the conveyor, bucket or if pumped, the discharge end of the piping. If potential problems are apparent at the discharge of any truck, the Engineer will test the concrete at truck discharge prior to deposit on the bridge deck.

The Engineer will cast, store, and test strength test specimens in sets of 5. See TABLE 1-3. KDOT will conduct the sampling and test the samples according to SECTION 2500 and TABLE 1-3. The Contractor may be directed by the Engineer to assist KDOT in obtaining the fresh concrete samples during the placement operation.

A plan will be finalized prior to the construction date as to how out-of-specification concrete will be handled.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests Required (Record to)</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
<th>CMS</th>
<th>Verification Samples and Tests</th>
<th>Acceptance Samples and Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slump (0.25 inch)</td>
<td>KT-21</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>Each of first 3 truckloads for any individual placement, then 1 of every 3 truckloads</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (1°F)</td>
<td>KT-17</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>Every truckload, measured at the truck discharge, and from each sample made for slump determination.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass (0.1 lb)</td>
<td>KT-20</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>One of every 6 truckloads</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Content (0.25%)</td>
<td>KT-18 or KT-19</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>Each of first 3 truckloads for any individual placement, then 1 of every 6 truckloads</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tests Required (Record to)</td>
<td>Test Method</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Verification Samples and Tests</td>
<td>Acceptance Samples and Tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylinders (1 lbf; 0.1 in; 1 psi)</td>
<td>KT-22 and AASHTO T 22</td>
<td>VER</td>
<td>Make at least 2 groups of 5 cylinders per pour or major mix design change with concrete sampled from at least 2 different truckloads evenly spaced throughout the pour, with a minimum of 1 set for every 100 cu yd. Include in each group 3 test cylinders to be cured according to KT-22 and 2 test cylinders to be field-cured. Store the field-cured cylinders on or adjacent to the bridge. Protect all surfaces of the cylinders from the elements in as near as possible the same way as the deck concrete. Test the field-cured cylinders at the same age as the standard-cured cylinders.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of Fresh Concrete (0.1 lb/cu ft or 0.1% of optimum density)</td>
<td>KT-36</td>
<td>ACI</td>
<td>b,c: 1 per 100 cu yd for thin overlays and bridge deck surfacing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note a: "Type Insp" must = "ACC" when the assignment of a pay quantity is being made. "ACI" when recording test values for additional acceptance information.
Note b: Normal operation. Minimum frequency for exceptional conditions may be reduced by the DME on a project basis, written justification shall be made to the Chief of the Bureau of Materials and Research and placed in the project documents. (Multi-Level Frequency Chart (see page 17, Appendix A of Construction Manual, Part V).
Note c: Applicable only when specifications contain those requirements.

The Engineer will reject concrete that does not comply with specified requirements. The Engineer will permit occasional deviations below the specified cementitious content, if it is due to the air content of the concrete exceeding the designated air content, but only up to the maximum tolerance in the air content. Continuous operation below the specified cement content for any reason is prohibited.

As the work progresses, the Engineer reserves the right to require the Contractor to change the proportions if conditions warrant such changes to produce a satisfactory mix. Any such changes may be made within the limits of the Specifications at no additional compensation to the Contractor.
Add a new SECTION to DIVISION 700:

LOW-CRACKING HIGH-PERFORMANCE CONCRETE – CONSTRUCTION

1.0 DESCRIPTION
Construct the low-cracking high-performance concrete (LC-HPC) structures according to the Contract Documents and this specification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BID ITEMS</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qualification Slab</td>
<td>Cubic Yard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete (*) (AE) (LC-HPC)</td>
<td>Cubic Yard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Grade of Concrete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.0 MATERIALS
Provide materials that comply with the applicable requirements.
LC-HPC ................................................................. 07-PS0166, latest version
Concrete Curing Materials .................................................. DIVISION 1400

3.0 CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS
a. Qualification Batch and Slab. For each LC-HPC bridge deck, produce a qualification batch of LC-HPC that is to be placed in the deck and complies with 07-PS0166, latest version, and construct a qualification slab that complies with this specification to demonstrate the ability to handle, place, finish and cure the LC-HPC bridge deck.

After the qualification batch of LC-HPC complies with 07-PS0166, latest version, construct a qualification slab 15 to 45 days prior to placing LC-HPC in the bridge deck. Construct the qualification slab to comply with the Contract Documents, using the same LC-HPC that is to be placed in the deck and that was approved in the qualification batch. Submit the location of the qualification slab for approval by the Engineer. Place, finish and cure the qualification slab according to the Contract Documents, using the same personnel, methods and equipment (including the concrete pump, if used) that will be used on the bridge deck.

A minimum of 1 day after construction of the qualification slab, core 4 full-depth 4 inch diameter cores, one from each quadrant of the qualification slab, and forward them to the Engineer for visual inspection of degree of consolidation.

Do not commence placement of LC-HPC in the deck until approval is given by the Engineer. Approval to place concrete on the deck will be based on satisfactory placement, consolidation, finishing and curing of the qualification slab and cores, and will be given or denied within 24 hours of receiving the cores from the Contractor. If an additional qualification slab is deemed necessary by the Engineer, it will be paid for at the contract unit price for Qualification Slab.
b. Falsework and Forms. Construct falsework and forms according to SECTION 708.

c. Handling and Placing LC-HPC.

(1) Quality Control Plan (QCP). At a project progress meeting prior to placing LC-HPC, discuss with the Engineer the method and equipment used for deck placement. Submit an acceptable QCP according to the Contractor’s Concrete Structures Quality Control Plan, Part V. Detail the equipment (for both determining and controlling the evaporation rate and LC-HPC temperature), procedures used to minimize the evaporation rate, plans for maintaining a continuous rate of finishing the deck without delaying the application of curing materials within the time specified in subsection 3.0f., including maintaining a continuous supply of LC-HPC throughout the placement with an adequate quantity of LC-HPC to complete the deck and filling diaphragms and end walls in advance of deck placement, and plans for placing the curing materials within the time specified in subsection 3.0f. In the plan, also include input from the LC-HPC supplier as to how variations in the moisture content of the aggregate will be handled, should they occur during construction.

(2) Use a method and sequence of placing LC-HPC approved by the Engineer. Do not place LC-HPC until the forms and reinforcing steel have been checked and approved. Before placing LC-HPC, clean all forms of debris.

(3) Finishing Machine Setup. On bridges skewed greater than 10º, place LC-HPC on the deck forms across the deck on the same skew as the bridge, unless approved otherwise by State Bridge Office (SBO). Operate the bridge deck finishing machine on the same skew as the bridge, unless approved otherwise by the SBO. Before placing LP-HPC, position the finish machine throughout the proposed placement area to allow the Engineer to verify the reinforcing steel positioning.

(4) Environmental Conditions. Maintain environmental conditions on the entire bridge deck so the evaporation rate is less than 0.2 lb/sq ft/hr. The temperature of the mixed LC-HPC immediately before placement must be a minimum of 55°F and a maximum of 70°F. With approval by the Engineer, the temperature of the LC-HPC may be adjusted 5°F above or below this range. This may require placing the deck at night, in the early morning or on another day. The evaporation rate (as determined in the American Concrete Institute Manual of Concrete Practice 305R, Chapter 2) is a function of air temperature, LC-HPC temperature, wind speed and relative humidity. The effects of any fogging required by the Engineer will not be considered in the estimation of the evaporation rate (subsection 3.0c.(5)).

Just prior to and at least once per hour during placement of the LC-HPC, the Engineer will measure and record the air temperature, LC-HPC temperature, wind speed, and relative humidity on the bridge deck. The Engineer will take the air temperature, wind, and relative humidity measurements approximately 12 inches above the surface of the deck. With this information, the Engineer will determine the evaporation rate using KDOT software or FIGURE 710-1.

When the evaporation rate is equal to or above 0.2 lb/ft²/hr, take actions (such as cooling the LC-HPC, installing wind breaks, sun screens etc.) to create and maintain an evaporation rate less than 0.2 lb/ft²/hr on the entire bridge deck.

(5) Fogging of Deck Placements. Fogging using hand-held equipment may be required by the Engineer during unanticipated delays in the placing, finishing or curing operations. If fogging is required by the Engineer, do not allow water to drip, flow or puddle on the concrete surface during fogging, placement of absorptive material, or at any time before the concrete has achieved final set.
(6) Placement and Equipment. Place LC-HPC by conveyor belt or concrete bucket. Pumping of LC-HPC will be allowed if the Contractor can show proficiency when placing the approved mix during construction of the qualification slab using the same pump as will be used on the job. Placement by pump will also be allowed with prior approval of the Engineer contingent upon successful placement by pump of the approved mix, using the same pump as will be used for the deck placement, at least 15 days prior to placing LC-HPC in the bridge deck. To limit the loss of air, the maximum drop from the end of a conveyor belt or from a concrete bucket is 5 feet and pumps must be fitted with an air cuff/bladder valve. Do not use chutes, troughs or pipes made of aluminum.

Place LC-HPC to avoid segregation of the materials and displacement of the reinforcement. Do not deposit LC-HPC in large quantities at any point in the forms, and then run or work the LC-HPC along the forms.

Fill each part of the form by depositing the LC-HPC as near to the final position as possible.

The Engineer will obtain sample LC-HPC for tests and cylinders at the discharge end of the conveyor, bucket, or if pumped, the piping.

(7) Consolidation.

• Accomplish consolidation of the LC-HPC on all span bridges that require finishing machines by means of a mechanical device on which internal (spud or tube type) concrete vibrators of the same type and size are mounted (subsection 154.2).

• Observe special requirements for vibrators in contact with epoxy coated reinforcing steel as specified in subsection 154.2.

• Provide stand-by vibrators for emergency use to avoid delays in case of failure.

• Operate the mechanical device so vibrator insertions are made on a maximum spacing of 12 inch centers over the entire deck surface.

• Provide a uniform time per insertion of all vibrators of 3 to 15 seconds, unless otherwise designated by the Engineer.

• Provide positive control of vibrators using a timed light, buzzer, automatic control or other approved method.

• Extract the vibrators from the LC-HPC at a rate to avoid leaving any large voids or holes in the LC-HPC.

• Do not drag the vibrators horizontally through the LC-HPC.

• Use hand held vibrators (subsection 154.2) in inaccessible and confined areas such as along bridge rail or curb.

• When required, supplement vibrating by hand spading with suitable tools to provide required consolidation.

• Reconsolidate any voids left by workers.

Continuously place LC-HPC in any floor slab until complete, unless shown otherwise in the Contract Documents.

d. Construction Joints, Expansion Joints and End of Wearing Surface (EWS) Treatment. Locate the construction joints as shown in the Contract Documents. If construction joints are not shown in the Contract Documents, submit proposed locations for approval by the Engineer.
If the work of placing LC-HPC is delayed and the LC-HPC has taken its initial set, stop the placement, saw the nearest construction joint approved by the Engineer, and remove all LC-HPC beyond the construction joint.

Construct keyed joints by embedding water-soaked beveled timbers of a size shown on the Contract Documents, into the soft LC-HPC. Remove the timber when the LC-HPC has set. When resuming work, thoroughly clean the surface of the LC-HPC previously placed, and when required by the Engineer, roughen the key with a steel tool. Before placing LC-HPC against the keyed construction joint, thoroughly wash the surface of the keyed joint with clean water.

e. Finishing. Strike off bridge decks with a vibrating screed or single-drum roller screed, either self-propelled or manually operated by winches and approved by the Engineer. Use a self-oscillating screed on the finish machine, and operate or finish from a position either on the skew or transverse to the bridge roadway centerline. See subsection 3.0c.(3). Do not mount tamping devices or fixtures to drum roller screeds; augers are allowed.

Irregular sections may be finished by other methods approved by the Engineer and detailed in the required QCP. See subsection 3.0c.(1).

Finish the surface by a burlap drag, metal pan or both, mounted to the finishing equipment. Use a float or other approved device behind the burlap drag or metal pan, as necessary, to remove any local irregularities. Do not add water to the surface of LC-HPC. Do not use a finishing aid.

Tining of plastic LC-HPC is prohibited. All LC-HPC surfaces must be reasonably true and even, free from stone pockets, excessive depressions or projections beyond the surface.

Finish all top surfaces, such as the top of retaining walls, curbs, abutments and rails, with a wooden float by tamping and floating, flushing the mortar to the surface and provide a uniform surface, free from pits or porous places. Trowel the surface producing a smooth surface, and brush lightly with a damp brush to remove the glazed surface.

f. Curing and Protection.

(1) General. Cure all newly placed LC-HPC immediately after finishing, and continue uninterruptedly for a minimum of 14 days. Cure all pedestrian walkway surfaces in the same manner as the bridge deck. Curing compounds are prohibited during the 14 day curing period.

(2) Cover With Wet Burlap. Soak the burlap a minimum of 12 hours prior to placement on the deck. Rewet the burlap if it has dried more one hour before it is applied to the surface of bridge deck. Apply 1 layer of wet burlap within 10 minutes of LC-HPC strike-off from the screed, followed by a second layer of wet burlap within 5 minutes. Do not allow the surface to dry after the strike-off, or at any time during the cure period. In the required QCP, address the rate of LC-HPC placement and finishing methods that will affect the period between strike-off and burlap placement. See subsection 3.0c.(1). During times of delay expected to exceed 10 minutes, cover all concrete that has been placed, but not finished, with wet burlap.

Maintain the wet burlap in a fully wet condition using misting hoses, self-propelled, machine-mounted fogging equipment with effective fogging area spanning the deck width moving continuously across the entire burlap-covered surface, or other approved devices until the LC-HPC has set sufficiently to allow foot traffic. At that time, place soaker hoses on the burlap, and supply running water continuously to maintain continuous saturation of all burlap material to the entire LC-HPC surface. For bridge decks with superelevation, place a minimum of 1 soaker hose along the high edge of the deck to keep the entire deck wet during the curing period.

(3) Waterproof Cover. Place white polyethylene film on top of the soaker hoses, covering the entire LC-HPC surface after soaker hoses have been placed, a maximum of 12 hours after the
placement of the LC-HPC. Use as wide of sheets as practicable, and overlap 2 feet on all edges to form a complete waterproof cover of the entire LC-HPC surface. Secure the polyethylene film so that wind will not displace it. Should any portion of the sheets be broken or damaged before expiration of the curing period, immediately repair the broken or damaged portions. Replace sections that have lost their waterproof qualities.

If burlap and/or polyethylene film is temporarily removed for any reason during the curing period, use soaker hoses to keep the entire exposed area continuously wet. Replace saturated burlap and polyethylene film, resuming the specified curing conditions, as soon as possible.

Inspect the LC-HPC surface once every 6 hours for the entirety of the 14 day curing period, so that all areas remain wet for the entire curing period and all curing requirements are satisfied.

(4) Documentation. Provide the Engineer with a daily inspection set that includes:

- documentation that identifies any deficiencies found (including location of deficiency);
- documentation of corrective measures taken;
- a statement of certification that the entire bridge deck is wet and all curing material is in place;
- documentation showing the time and date of all inspections and the inspector’s signature.
- documentation of any temporary removal of curing materials including location, date and time, length of time curing was removed, and means taken to keep the exposed area continuously wet.

(5) Cold Weather Curing. When LC-HPC is being placed in cold weather, also adhere to 07-PS0166, latest version.

When LC-HPC is being placed and the ambient air temperature may be expected to drop below 40ºF during the curing period or when the ambient air temperature is expected to drop more than 25ºF below the temperature of the LC-HPC during the first 24 hours after placement, provide suitable measures such as straw, additional burlap, or other suitable blanketing materials, and/or housing and artificial heat to maintain the LC-HPC and girder temperatures between 40ºF and 75ºF as measured on the upper and lower surfaces of the LC-HPC. Enclose the area underneath the deck and heat so that the temperature of the surrounding air is as close as possible to the temperature of LC-HPC and between 40ºF and 75ºF. When artificial heating is used to maintain the LC-HPC and girder temperatures, provide adequate ventilation to limit exposure to carbon dioxide if necessary. Maintain wet burlap and polyethylene cover during the entire 14 day curing period. Heating may be stopped after the first 72 hours if the time of curing is lengthened to account for periods when the ambient air temperature is below 40ºF. For every day the ambient air temperature is below 40ºF, an additional day of curing with a minimum ambient air temperature of 50ºF will be required. After completion of the required curing period, remove the curing and protection so that the temperature of the LC-HPC during the first 24 hours does not fall more than 25ºF.

(6) Curing Membrane. At the end of the 14-day curing period remove the wet burlap and polyethylene and within 30 minutes, apply 2 coats of an opaque curing membrane to the LC-HPC. Apply the curing membrane when no free water remains on the surface but while the surface is still wet. Apply each coat of curing membrane according to the manufacturer’s instructions with a minimum spreading rate per coat of 1 gallon per 80 square yards of LC-HPC surface. If the LC-HPC is dry or becomes dry, thoroughly wet it with water applied as a fog spray by means of approved equipment. Spray the second coat immediately after and at right angles to the first application.
Protect the curing membrane against marring for a minimum of 7 days. Give any marred or disturbed membrane an additional coating. Should the curing membrane be subjected to continuous injury, the Engineer may limit work on the deck until the 7-day period is complete. Because the purpose of the curing membrane is to allow for slow drying of the bridge deck, extension of the initial curing period beyond 14 days, while permitted, shall not be used to reduce the 7-day period during which the curing membrane is applied and protected.


If the Contractor needs to drive on the bridge before the approach slabs can be placed and cured, construct a temporary bridge from the approach over the EWS capable of supporting the anticipated loads. Do not bend the reinforcing steel which will tie the approach slab to the EWS or damage the LC-HPC at the EWS. The method of bridging must be approved by the Engineer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days after concrete is placed</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Allowable Loads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1* Subdeck, one-course deck or concrete overlay</td>
<td>Foot traffic only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3* One-course deck or concrete overlay</td>
<td>Work to place reinforcing steel or forms for the bridge rail or barrier.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7* Concrete overlays</td>
<td>Legal Loads; Heavy stationary loads with the Engineer’s approval.***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (15)** Subdeck, one-course deck or post-tensioned haunched slab bridges**</td>
<td>Light truck traffic (gross vehicle weight less than 5 tons).****</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 (21)** Subdeck, one-course deck or post-tensioned haunched slab bridges**</td>
<td>Legal Loads; Heavy stationary loads with the Engineer’s approval.***Overlays on new decks.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Bridge decks</td>
<td>Overloads, only with the State Bridge Engineer’s approval.***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Maintain a 7 day wet cure at all times (14-day wet cure for decks with LC-HPC).
** Conventional haunched slabs.
*** Submit the load information to the appropriate Engineer. Required information: the weight of the material and the footprint of the load, or the axle (or truck) spacing and the width, the size of each tire (or track length and width) and their weight.
**** An overlay may be placed using pumps or conveyors until legal loads are allowed on the bridge.

**g. Grinding and Grooving.** Correct surface variations exceeding 1/8 inch in 10 feet by use of an approved profiling device, or other methods approved by the Engineer after the curing period. Perform grinding on hardened LC-HPC after the 7 day curing membrane period to achieve a plane surface and grooving of the final wearing surface as shown in the Contract Documents.

Use a self-propelled grinding machine with diamond blades mounted on a multi-blade arbor. Avoid using equipment that causes excessive ravel, aggregate fractures or spalls. Use vacuum equipment or other continuous methods to remove grinding slurry and residue.
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After any required grinding is complete, give the surface a suitable texture by transverse grooving. Use diamond blades mounted on a self-propelled machine that is designed for texturing pavement. Transverse grooving of the finished surface may be done with equipment that is not self-propelled providing that the Contractor can show proficiency with the equipment. Use equipment that does not cause strain, excessive raveling, aggregate fracture, spalls, disturbance of the transverse or longitudinal joint, or damage to the existing LC-HPC surface. Make the grooving approximately 3/16 inch in width at 3/4 inch centers and the groove depth approximately 1/8 inch. For bridges with drains, terminate the transverse grooving approximately 2 feet in from the gutter line at the base of the curb. Continuously remove all slurry residues resulting from the texturing operation.

h. Post Construction Conference. At the completion of the deck placement, curing, grinding and grooving for a bridge using LC-HPC, a post-construction conference will be held with all parties that participated in the planning and construction present. The Engineer will record the discussion of all problems and successes for the project.

i. Removal of Forms and Falsework. Do not remove forms and falsework without the Engineer’s approval. Remove deck forms approximately 2 weeks (a maximum of 4 weeks) after the end of the curing period (removal of burlap), unless approved by the Engineer. The purpose of 4 week maximum is to limit the moisture gradient between the bottom and the top of the deck.

For additional requirements regarding forms and falsework, see SECTION 708.

4.0 MEASUREMENT AND PAYMENT

The Engineer will measure the qualification slab and the various grades of (AE) (LC-HPC) concrete placed in the structure by the cubic yard. No deductions are made for reinforcing steel and pile heads extending into the LP-HPC. The Engineer will not separately measure reinforcing steel in the qualification slab.

Payment for the "Qualification Slab" and the various grades of "(AE) (LC-HPC) Concrete" at the contract unit prices is full compensation for the specified work.
**FIGURE 710-1: STANDARD PRACTICE FOR CURING CONCRETE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air temperature, deg F</th>
<th>Concrete temperature, deg C</th>
<th>Relative humidity, percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50F (10C)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>60F (16C)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>70F (21C)</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>80F (27C)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90F (32C)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>100F (38C)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

rate of evaporation, lb/sq ft/hr

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wind velocity, mph (km/hr)</th>
<th>Rate of evaporation, lb/sq ft/hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect of concrete and air temperatures, relative humidity, and wind velocity on the rate of evaporation of surface moisture from concrete. This chart provides a graphic method of estimating the loss of surface moisture for various weather conditions. To use the chart, follow the four steps outlined above. When the evaporation rate exceeds 0.2 lb/ft²/hr (1.0 kg/m²/hr), measures shall be taken to prevent excessive moisture loss from the surface of unhardened concrete; when the rate is less than 0.2 lb/ft²/hr (1.0 kg/m²/hr) such measures may be needed. When excessive moisture loss is not prevented, plastic cracking is likely to occur.
APPENDIX B

BRIDGE DECK SURVEY SPECIFICATION*

*From Lindquist, et al. (2005)
BRIDGE DECK SURVEY SPECIFICATION

1.0 DESCRIPTION.
This specification covers the procedures and requirements to perform bridge deck surveys of reinforced concrete bridge decks.

2.0 SURVEY REQUIREMENTS.

a. Pre-Survey Preparation.
(1) Prior to performing the crack survey, related construction documents need to be gathered to produce a scaled drawing of the bridge deck. The scale must be exactly 1 in. = 10 ft (for use with the scanning software), and the drawing only needs to include the boundaries of the deck surface.

NOTE 1 – In the event that it is not possible to produce a scaled drawing prior to arriving at the bridge deck, a hand-drawn crack map (1 in.= 10 ft) created on engineering paper using measurements taken in the field is acceptable.

(2) The scaled drawing should also include compass and traffic directions in addition to deck stationing. A scaled 5 ft by 5 ft grid is also required to aid in transferring the cracks observed on the bridge deck to the scaled drawing. The grid shall be drawn separately and attached to the underside of the crack map such that the grid can easily be seen through the crack map.

NOTE 2 – Maps created in the field on engineering paper need not include an additional grid.

(3) For curved bridges, the scaled drawing need not be curved, i.e., the curve may be approximated using straight lines.

(4) Coordinate with traffic control so that at least one side (or one lane) of the bridge can be closed during the time that the crack survey is being performed.

b. Preparation of Surface.
(1) After traffic has been closed, station the bridge in the longitudinal direction at ten feet intervals. The stationing shall be done as close to the centerline as possible. For curved bridges, the stationing shall follow the curve.

(2) Prior to beginning the crack survey, mark a 5 ft by 5 ft grid using lumber crayons or chalk on the portion of the bridge closed to traffic corresponding to the grid on the scaled drawing. Measure and document any drains, repaired areas, unusual cracking, or any other items of interest.

(3) Starting with one end of the closed portion of the deck, using a lumber crayon or chalk, begin tracing cracks that can be seen while bending at the waist. After beginning to trace cracks, continue to the end of the crack, even if this includes portions of the crack that were not initially seen while bending at the waist. Areas covered by sand or other debris need not be surveyed. Trace the cracks using a different color crayon than was used to mark the grid and stationing.

(4) At least one person shall recheck the marked portion of the deck for any additional cracks. The goal is not to mark every crack on the deck, only those cracks that can initially be seen while bending at the waist.

NOTE 3 – An adequate supply of lumber crayons or chalk should be on hand for the survey. Crayon or chalk colors should be selected to be readily visible when used to mark the concrete.
c. Weather Limitations.
   (1) Surveys are limited to days when the expected temperature during the survey will not
       be below 60 °F.
   (2) Surveys are further limited to days that are forecasted to be at least mostly sunny for a
       majority of the day.
   (3) Regardless of the weather conditions, the bridge deck must be completely dry before
       the survey can begin.

3.0 BRIDGE SURVEY.

   a. Crack Surveys.
      Using the grid as a guide, transfer the cracks from the deck to the scaled drawing. Areas
      that are not surveyed should be marked on the scaled drawing. Spalls, regions of scaling, and
      other areas of special interest need not be included on the scale drawings but should be noted.

   b. Delamination Survey.
      At any time during or after the crack survey, bridge decks shall be checked for
      delamination. Any areas of delamination shall be noted and drawn on a separate drawing of the
      bridge. This second drawing need not be to scale.

   c. Under Deck Survey.
      Following the crack and delamination survey, the underside of the deck shall be examined
      and any unusual or excessive cracking noted.
APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF CRACK DENSITY RESULTS*
Table C.1- Summary of crack densities for bridges on US-59

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridge ID</th>
<th>Date of Placement</th>
<th>2010 Survey</th>
<th>2011 Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age at Survey (months)</td>
<td>Crack Density (m/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-1</td>
<td>11/13/2008</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-2</td>
<td>11/25/2008</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-3</td>
<td>9/30/2008</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-4</td>
<td>9/19/2008</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-5</td>
<td>5/14/2008</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-6</td>
<td>4/30/2008</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-7</td>
<td>11/1/2008</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-8</td>
<td>10/29/2008</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-9</td>
<td>10/21/2008</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-10</td>
<td>12/6/2008</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-11</td>
<td>10/3/2008</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59-12</td>
<td>1/9/2009</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>